

1 MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney (SBN 111529)
 2 KATHLEEN A. KENEALY, Chief Deputy City Attorney (SBN 212289)
 3 SCOTT MARCUS, Senior Assistant City Attorney (SBN 184980)
 4 ARLENE N. HOANG, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 193395)
 5 JESSICA MARIANI, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 280748)
 6 200 North Main Street, City Hall East, 7th Floor
 7 Los Angeles, California 90012
 Telephone: 213-978-4681
 Facsimile: 213-978-7011
 Email: Scott.Marcus@lacity.org

8 Attorneys for Defendant
 9 CITY OF LOS ANGELES

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS,
 14 et al.,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,

18 Defendants.
 19
 20
 21
 22

Case No. CV 20-02291 DOC (KES)

**JOINT STIPULATION TO
 EXTEND TIME FOR
 DEFENDANT CITY OF LOS
 ANGELES TO RESPOND TO
 PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT**

Filed Concurrently With:
[Proposed] Order

Complaint Served: 03/16/20
Response Due: 06/03/21
New Response Date: 06/10/21

Hon. David O. Carter
United States District Judge

1 Plaintiffs LA ALLIANCE, *et al.* (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant City of Los Angeles
2 (the “City”)¹, by and through their respective counsel of record, stipulate and agree to
3 extend the time for the City to respond to Plaintiffs’ Complaint [[Dkt. 1](#)] as follows:

4 1. On March 16, 2020, Plaintiffs served their Summons and Complaint on the
5 City. [[Dkt. 1, 8 & 13](#)].

6 2. On March 19, 2020, the Court imposed a stay with the agreement of the
7 parties. [[Dkt. 90](#)]. The stay was lifted on April 13, 2021. [[Dkt. 266](#)].

8 3. Pursuant to a stipulation made under Local Rule 8-3, the parties agreed to
9 extend the City’s time to respond to Plaintiffs’ Complaint by not more than 30 days, to
10 June 3, 2021. [[Dkt. 288](#)]. The stipulation was entered to allow the Parties to continue to
11 discuss potential resolution of the allegations made in the Complaint. *Id.*

12 4. In order to allow the Parties additional time to discuss and confer about the
13 allegations in the Complaint, the City’s arguments concerning the allegations, and
14 potential resolution of the Complaint allegations, the Parties hereby stipulate and agree
15 to an additional two week extension of time for the City to respond to Plaintiffs’
16 Complaint, through and including June 17, 2021. The Parties have scheduled a
17 conference of counsel to proceed on June 1, 2021.

18 5. There have not been any prior requests for extensions submitted to the
19 Court by any party. As discussed in Paragraph 3, above, the City previously requested,
20 and Plaintiffs granted, a 30 day extension for the City to respond to the Complaint
21 pursuant to Local Rule 8-3, with that response date being June 3, 2021. [[Dkt. 288](#)].
22

23 IT IS SO STIPULATED.
24
25

26 _____
27 ¹ Plaintiffs and the City will collectively be referred to herein as “the Parties.”

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED: May 28, 2021 MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney

By: /s/ Scott Marcus
SCOTT MARCUS, Senior Assistant City Attorney
Counsel for Defendant City of Los Angeles

DATED: May 28, 2021 SPERTUS, LANDES & UMHOFFER, LLP

By: /s/ Elizabeth A. Mitchell
Elizabeth A. Mitchell
Counsel for Plaintiffs LA Alliance, et al.

Filer's Attestation: Pursuant to Local Rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(1), Scott Marcus hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document and its contents was obtained from all signatories listed above.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 20-02291 DOC (KES)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
JOINT STIPULATION TO
EXTEND TIME FOR
DEFENDANT CITY OF LOS
ANGELES TO RESPOND TO
PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT**

**Complaint Served: 03/16/20
Response Due: 06/03/21
New Response Date: 06/10/21**

**Hon. David O. Carter
United States District Judge**

1 The Court, having read and considered the joint stipulation filed on behalf of
2 Plaintiffs LA ALLIANCE, *et al.* (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant City of Los Angeles (the
3 “City”), and finding GOOD CAUSE thereon, hereby grants the stipulated two week
4 extension of time for the City to respond to Plaintiffs’ Complaint.

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the City’s response to Plaintiffs’ Complaint is
6 to be filed on or by June 17, 2021.

7
8 DATED: _____, 2021

9 DAVID O. CARTER
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27