UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. CR 99-838 NM

HEALTH EVIDENCE

Plaintiff,

Health Evidence as follows:

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER OR CLARIFY ORDER RE: NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTRODUCE MENTAL

v.

BUFORD O'NEAL FURROW, JR.,
Defendant.

Upon full consideration of the moving, opposition, and reply papers, the parties' arguments and authorities, and the entire record herein, the Court hereby grants in part and denies in part defendant's motion for reconsideration and amends Paragraphs 3 and 5 of its Order re: Notice of Intent to Introduce Mental

3. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 12.2, the Court will entertain any motion by the government to order the defendant to submit to an examination pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Sections 4241 or 4242. Any such motion shall be filed within 10 days of receipt of the defendant's written summary of expert testimony, and shall specify the scope and extent of the examination desired by the government. Pursuant to Rule 12.2, no statements made by defendant in the course

of any examination so ordered, no testimony by the expert based upon such statements, and no fruits of the statements shall be admitted in evidence against defendant except on an issue respecting mental condition on which defendant has introduced testimony.

5. If defendant intends to introduce evidence and/or expert testimony relating to a mental condition of defendant offered in mitigation solely at the penalty phase of the trial, defendant shall similarly provide to the government written notice of such intention within the time provided for the second round of filing the pretrial motions in this case (June 26, 2000). The notice shall include the name and professional qualifications of any mental health professional who will testify and a brief written summary of such professionals diagnostic conclusions. Such notice shall contain sufficient information to permit the government to determine the area in which any government rebuttal expert must be versed. Defendant, if he wishes, may file such notice under seal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 6, 2000

Nora M. Manella United States District Judge