1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CV 00-11769 (GAF)(JWJx)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE: AMICUS BRIEFING
13	V.	
14	CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA, BOARD OF POLICE COMMISSIONERS	
15	OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, AND	
16	THE LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19 00	On November 3, 2000, the United States filed this action against the City of Los	
20	Angeles, the Los Angeles Police Department and the City's Board of Police	
21	Commissioners alleging the Police Department had engaged in, and continued to	
22	engage in, a pattern and practice of using excessive force, falsely arresting persons,	
23	and improperly stopping, searching and seizing people in Los Angeles in violation of	
24	42 U.S.C. § 14141. (Complaint, $\P\P$ 8- 11). The action was filed after months of	
25	negotiations between the Department of Justice and the City, during which the parties	
26	agreed to settle the action under the terms of a negotiated Consent Decree.	
27	The Court has read and considered the Consent Decree, but has not yet signed	
28	that document. The Court's principal concern at this stage of the proceedings is the	
	selection of the Monitor, who, under the tern	ns of the decree, "shall monitor and report

1	on the City's implementation of this Agreement." Although the decree does not		
2	provide a role for the Court in the selection of the monitor, "the Monitor shall be an		
3	agent of the Court and shall be subject to the supervision and orders of this Court."		
4	Accordingly, the Court has advised the parties that the decree will not be signed until a		
5	Monitor acceptable to the Court has been put forward by the parties.		
6	In the meantime, the Court invites comment on the Consent Decree from a		
7	variety of non-parties as amicus curiae. Those who are identified below may, but are		
8	not required, to submit a memorandum to the Court, not to exceed 20 pages,		
9	addressing any issue(s) that they see fit regarding the negotiated consent decree. Any		
10	memorandum submitted pursuant to this order shall be filed with the Court on or		
11	before March 16, 2001. The Court will review the submissions and will thereafter		
12	determine whether to conduct a hearing to address any of the issues raised. The		
13	parties invited to submit an amicus memorandum are the following:		
14	Los Angeles Police Protective League		
15	American Civil Liberties Union		
16	Yagman Plaintiffs		
17	Los Angeles Command Officers Association		
18	Los Angeles County District Attorney		
19	Los Angeles County Public Defender		
20	Warren Christopher, Chairman, Independent Commission on the LAPD.		
21	In the event that any of the foregoing parties do not have a copy of the		
22	proposed consent decree, a copy of that document is being posted on the District		
23	Court's website.		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25	DATED: February 16, 2001		
26	Judge Gary Allen Feess		
27	United States District Court		
28			
	- 2 -		
	~		