

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES

Date: June 4, 2025

Title: LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, ET AL., V. CITY OF LOS ANGELES,
ET AL.

PRESENT:

THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, JUDGE

Karlen Dubon
Courtroom Clerk

Not Present
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR
PLAINTIFF:
None Present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR
DEFENDANT:
None Present

**PROCEEDINGS (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER REQUIRING CITY
VERIFICATION OF TLS
REPORTING AND SETTING
BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

Based on the evidence presented to the Court during the evidentiary hearing, the Court is concerned about verification of the 2,679 time-limited subsidy (“TLS”) slots that are reported by the City to comply with the Roadmap Agreement, most recently in the City’s status report dated April 15, 2025 (Dkt. 891). The Court heard testimony from the A&M assessment team that A&M could not verify a number of TLS beds due to expenditure gaps and missing addresses. A&M also testified that some of the TLS addresses provided for Roadmap were the same addresses listed for permanent supportive housing in the LA Alliance settlement reporting. These issues raise serious concerns about the accuracy of the Roadmap compliance reporting and potential double-counting between the two agreements.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES

Date: June 4, 2025

Page 2

To ensure Roadmap compliance, the Court hereby requires the City to provide the following data on each TLS slot in the Roadmap reporting:

- the HMIS identifier
- verification that this HMIS identifier is also logged in the CES system
- address of the lease being paid for
- move-in date of the lease being paid for
- current occupancy status of each TLS slot

The Court requires the data to be provided by **June 11, 2025, at 5:00 pm** in a spreadsheet format under seal. The Court also requires that the City compare the TLS addresses reported for Roadmap with the addresses reported for the LA Alliance settlement and sign an affidavit stating whether there is any overlap of addresses in the reporting.

Further, the Court orders the following post-evidentiary hearing briefing schedule: Plaintiff's Opening Brief is due Monday, June 9, 2025, at 3:00 p.m. (25-page limit). The City's response is due Friday, June 13, 2025, at 3:00 p.m. (50-page limit). Plaintiff's Reply is due on Tuesday, June 17, 2025, at 3:00 p.m. (25-page limit). The Intervenor's briefing is due June 17, 2025, at 3:00 p.m. (50-page limit). Lastly, the County may also respond by Friday, June 17, 2025 at 3:00 p.m. (25-page limit).

The Clerk shall serve this minute order on the parties.

MINUTES FORM 11

Initials of Deputy Clerk: kdu

CIVIL-GEN