1	UMHOFER, MITCHELL & KING LLP Matthew Donald Umhofer (SBN 206607) Elizabeth A. Mitchell (SBN 251139)		
2	Elizabeth A. Mitchell (SBN 251139)		
3	/6/ S. Alameda St., Suite 221		
	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 394-7979		
4	Facsimile: (213) 529-1027		
5	matthew@umklaw.com elizabeth@umklaw.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
7	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP THEANE EVANGELIS, SBN 243570		
8	8 tevangelis@gibsondunn.com MARCELLUS MCRAE, SBN 140308		
9	9 mmcrae@gibsondunn.com KAHN A. SCOLNICK, SBN 228686		
10	kscolnick(a)gibsondunn.com		
11	BRADLEY J. HAMBURGER, SBN 26691	6	
	bhamburger@gibsondunn.com ANGELIQUE KAOUNIS, SBN 209833		
12	akaounis@gibsondunn.com PATRICK J. FUSTER, SBN 326789		
13	pfuster(a)gibsondunn.com		
14	333 South Grand Avenue		
	Los Angeles, California 90071-3197 Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520		
15	Facsimile: 213.229.7520		
16	[Additional Counsel on Following Page]		
17			
18	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, et al.,	CASE NO. 2:20-cv-02291 DOC (KES)	
21	Plaintiffs,	Honorable David O. Carter, United States District Judge	
22		JOINT STATUS REPORT	
23	V.	REGARDING CITY COUNCIL	
24	CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a Municipal entity, et al.,	CONSIDERATION OF PROPOSED THIRD-PARTY MONITORS	
25	Defendant.		
26		Action Filed: March 10, 2020	
27			
28			
ا ت ــ			

## JOINT PORTION OF STATUS REPORT

As required by the Court's October 2, 2025 Order, Dkt. 1039, the City of Los Angeles ("City") and Plaintiff LA Alliance for Human rights ("LA Alliance," together with the City, the "Parties") submit this Joint Status Report regarding the Los Angeles City Council's consideration of the proposed Third-Party Monitors.

The City Council was on recess from September 23 to September 24 in observance of Rosh Hashanah. The City Council was first scheduled to vote on the proposed Third-Party Monitors at its September 26, 2025 meeting. *See* <a href="https://lacity.primegov.com/Portal/Meeting?meetingTemplateId=145640">https://lacity.primegov.com/Portal/Meeting?meetingTemplateId=145640</a> (agenda item 21). On September 26, 2025, however, the City Council continued consideration of the Third-Party Monitors to October 1, to allow more time for the collection and review of relevant information. At the October 1, 2025 City Council meeting, the vote was again continued because the City Council had not yet received all necessary information to consider the proposed Third-Party Monitors from the proposed Monitors, including proposed scopes of work and proposed budgets. *See* <a href="https://lacity.primegov.com/Portal/Meeting?meetingTemplateId=146129">https://lacity.primegov.com/Portal/Meeting?meetingTemplateId=146129</a> (agenda item 45).

The City Council has now received proposals from both Mr. Galperin and Mr. Garrie that are publicly available in Council File No. 20-0263-S4. *See*<a href="https://cityclerk.lacity.org/lacityclerkconnect/index.cfm?fa=ccfi.viewrecord&cfnumber=20-0263-S4">https://cityclerk.lacity.org/lacityclerkconnect/index.cfm?fa=ccfi.viewrecord&cfnumber=20-0263-S4</a>. The City Council's vote on the proposed Third-Party Monitors is now scheduled for October 7, 2025, which is the next City Council meeting.

The Parties will notify the Court of the outcome of the October 7, 2025 City Council vote promptly after a decision is made regarding the Third-Party Monitors.

## PLAINTIFFS' SEPARATE PORTION OF STATUS REPORT

Plaintiff LA Alliance for Human Rights notes that its counsel has repeatedly requested to be included in the negotiations on scope of work ("SOW") for the monitors, pursuant to court order (ECF 991) and Section 7.2 of the Settlement

1			
	Agreement, yet the City has failed to do so. The City failed to respond to Plaintiff's		
	amended proposed SOW which was sent to the City, Mr. Galperin, and Mr. Garrie on		
	September 25, 2026. The City apparently received a letter from Mr. Galperin on		
	October 1, 2025 with a separate proposed SOW, which was not provided to Plaintiff		
	but simply placed on the clerk's website. Within that letter Mr. Galperin referenced a		
	totally different SOW sent to him by the L.A. City Attorney's Office on September 30,		
	2025 which was also not provided to Plaintiff. To date, Plaintiff has not seen nor		
	reviewed it. The lack of cooperation by the City has caused inexcusable delay in		
	approving the monitors and moving this process forward. The City's recalcitrance is		
	palpable and should not be permitted to continue. Plaintiff requests the Court order—		
	again—the City to cooperate with Plaintiff on negotiating the SOW with Mr. Garrie		
	and Mr. Galperin.		
	DATED: October 3, 2025 UMHOFER, MITCHELL & KING LLP		
	By: /s/ Elizabeth A. Mitchell		
	Elizabeth A. Mitchell Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP		
	DATED: October 3, 2025 By: /s/ Theane Evangelis		
	Theane Evangelis		
	Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES		
	Local Rule 5-4.3.4 Attestation		
	I attest that all counsel of record concur in this filing's content and have authorized the filing.  By: /s/ Theane Evangelis		
	Theane Evangelis		
١			

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP