UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION - LOS ANGELES JEFFREY POWERS, ET AL, ) CASE NO: 2:22-cv-08357-DOC-JEMx ) Plaintiffs, CIVIL ) ) ) Santa Ana, California vs. ) Thursday, April 4, 2024 DENIS RICHARD MCDONOUGH, ) ET AL, ) (11:06 a.m. to 11:28 a.m.) ) Defendants. (1:05 p.m. to 1:44 p.m.) ) (2:07 p.m. to 2:08 p.m.) HEARING RE: MOTION TO FILE COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION [DKT.NO.144] BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE SEE PAGE 2 **APPEARANCES:** Court Reporter: Recorded; CourtSmart Courtroom Deputy: Karlen Dubon Transcribed by: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc. P.O. Box 8365 Corpus Christi, TX 78468 361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

## APPEARANCES :

For	Plaintiffs:	ROMAN M. SILBERFELD, ESQ. TOMMY H. DU, ESQ. Robins Kaplan 2121 Avenue of the Stars Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90067
		MARK D. ROSENBAUM, ESQ. AMANDA SAVAGE, ESQ. Public Counsel 610 S. Ardmore Ave. Los Angeles, CA 90005
For	Defendants:	BRAD P. ROSENBERG, ESQ. CODY T. KNAPP, ESQ. U.S. Department of Justice 1100 L Street N.W. Washington, DC 20005
For	Proposed Intervenor:	SEAN A. MCCORMICK, ESQ. ERNEST J. GUADIANA, ESQ. Elkins Kalt Weinbraub, et al. 10345 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90064

	3
1	Santa Ana, California; Thursday, April 4, 2024; 11:06 a.m.
2	(Call to Order)
3	THE COURT: We're on the record in the matter of
4	Jeffrey Powers versus Denis Richardis it McDonug (sic)? Am
5	I
6	MR. SPEAKER: McDonough.
7	THE COURT: McDunug (sic), I'm sorry. On 22-08357.
8	And, counsel, just remain seated.
9	But if you'd make your appearances, please?
10	MR. ROSENBAUM: Good morning, Your Honor. First of
11	all, thank you for moving the time. That was extremely
12	helpful.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. ROSENBAUM: I'm Mark Rosenbaum from Public
15	Counsel on behalf of Plaintiffs.
16	THE COURT: Nice to see you.
17	MS. SAVAGE: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Amanda
18	Mangaser Savage from Public Counsel on behalf of Plaintiffs.
19	THE COURT: Nice seeing you.
20	MR. SILBERFELD: Morning, Your Honor. Roman
21	Silberfeld, Robins Kaplan for the Plaintiff.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	MR. DU: Good morning, Your Honor. Tommy Du, Robins
24	Kaplan, on behalf of Plaintiffs.
25	THE COURT: Thank you. And then let me turn to

1 MR. MCCORMICK: Good morning, Your Honor. Sean 2 McCormick on behalf of the proposed intervenor, Bridgeland 3 Resources. THE COURT: Oh, Linda, just a moment. How do I spell 4 5 your first name, sir? MR. MCCORMICK: S-E-A-N. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. And your last name, sir. MR. MCCORMICK: M-C-C-O-R-M-I-C-K. 8 9 THE COURT: M-I-C-K, McCormick. 10 MR. MCCORMICK: Yes, sir. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And --12 MR. GUADIANA: Good morning, Your Honor. Ernest 13 Guadiana also on behalf of Bridgeland Resources. 14 THE COURT: All right. Now just a little bit slower. 15 Your first name? 16 MR. GUADIANA: Ernest, E-R-N-E-S-T. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 MR. GUADIANA: And the last name is Guadiana, which 19 is "G" as in George, U-A-D as in David, I-A-N as in Nancy, "A." 20 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Nice to have you. 21 And then, counsel, just remain seated. 22 MR. ROSENBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Brad 23 Rosenberg, special counsel in the Federal Programs Branch --24 THE COURT: Right. 25 MR. ROSENBERG: -- with Department of Justice, Civil

4

1	Division.
2	THE COURT: Good to see you. And
3	MR. KNAPP: And Cody Knapp, also with the Federal
4	Programs Branch.
5	THE COURT: Now, just a moment. (Inaudible) captive
6	of the name submitted to me. Who's Zachary Anthony Avallone?
7	MR. ROSENBERG: Mr. Avallone was the prior trial
8	attorney on this case. You may recall his last hearing here
9	was
10	THE COURT: Right.
11	MR. ROSENBERG: in September.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. ROSENBERG: He has since left the Department of
14	Justice.
15	THE COURT: So, Karlen, let's take him off the
16	appearance list, okay?
17	Who is Keith, middle initial "E," last name is Smith?
18	MR. ROSENBERG: He is a counsel for HACLA.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And he's no longer by stipulation
20	here. We can take him off the appearance list.
21	Who's Christine Kroot Kloss (phonetic)?
22	MR. ROSENBERG: Oh, she is a counsel for the
23	Department of Veterans Affairs, agency counsel.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Will she be making an appearance
25	and should I keep her on the list?

5

1 MR. ROSENBERG: She is not here today --2 THE COURT: Time out. Let's just keep her on the list --3 4 MR. ROSENBERG: Okay. 5 THE COURT: -- as a courtesy so if she does make an 6 appearance, okay. 7 And Richard Hipolit. MR. ROSENBERG: He is a senior agency counsel with 8 9 VA. He appeared at the --10 THE COURT: Yeah. 11 MR. ROSENBERG: -- September hearing. 12 THE COURT: Then let's keep him on the list although 13 he's not present. 14 Well let me turn this over to you, folks. How we 15 doing? 16 (No audible response.) 17 Well then let's all go to lunch and the beach. And 18 how are we doing? 19 MR. SILBERFELD: Good morning again, Your Honor. Roman Silberfeld for the Plaintiffs. 20 21 We've gotten a lot accomplished since January. We've 22 taken a number of depositions. I don't know that we've 23 completed any because of some document production issues. We 24 learned yesterday that there's some document production yet to 25 come from the Government.

6

1 We have not had a chance since this occurred yesterday to actually sit down and talk with either counsel in 2 detail about this or with either of the special masters. 3 We had a brief conversation just before --4 5 THE COURT: And we'll do that over the lunch hour --MR. SILBERFELD: Yeah. 6 7 **THE COURT:** -- if needed, okay? MR. SILBERFELD: But we've -- we have filed expert 8 9 reports, four of them. The government has amended its 26 disclosure to add three of its own employees to be giving 10 11 opinion testimony at the time of trial, so that's complete as 12 of Monday of this week. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. SILBERFELD: And now we move into some of the 15 motion phases. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SILBERFELD: But there's way more to do. 18 THE COURT: How are we doing? MR. ROSENBERG: I think I largely agree with what my 19 friend on the Plaintiffs' side has said. I think we've 20 21 accomplished an enormous amount, and we've been working 22 cooperatively up until this point. 2.3 I think the special masters would acknowledge that 24 the depositions have all run very smoothly. We have not had 25 any discovery disputes that we've had to take to them to date.

7

1	I'll note and my colleague, Mr. Knapp, is really a
2	little bit closer to the document issues, but we've produced in
3	excess of 122,000 pages of documents so far, both from VA and
4	HUD.
5	Plaintiffs have taken several depositions, including
6	at least two days of 30(b)(6) depositions so far. There's at
7	least one more and three and two days of 30(b)(6)
8	depositions of VA, one 30(b)(6) deposition of HUD. And there's
9	one more day of a 30(b)(6) deposition that's currently
10	scheduled.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. ROSENBERG: We are looking at the expert phase of
13	discovery at this point. As Mr. Silberfeld noted, Plaintiffs
14	have identified four experts. We anticipate taking those
15	depositions.
16	And then we also anticipate taking the depositions of
17	the named Plaintiffs themselves.
18	THE COURT: I'm trying to divide the resources into
19	east coast and west coast so that there was no windshield time.
20	I assumed that a lot of our depositions would take place by
21	video. But in case there were in-person depositions that were
22	demanded, I didn't want you paying for west coast.
23	So Judge Smith has been out on the west coast.
24	Daniel Garrie's been out on the east coast. But they're
25	available to both of you.

8

1 Do you have enough resources? In other words, are 2 the resources that I've extended to you sufficient to meet your knees or do I need to and somehow, you know, embrace you and 3 increase those resources? 4 5 MR. SILBERFELD: From our perspective, the --THE COURT: You okay so far. 6 7 MR. SILBERFELD: -- resources we have are good. I want to make it as cost-effective as 8 THE COURT: 9 possible. 10 MR. SILBERFELD: Right. 11 How about you folks? THE COURT: I mean, I think if you're -- the 12 MR. ROSENBERG: 13 Court is referring to the external resources that it's made 14 available to us with the special masters, I think it's worked 15 well so far. I don't think we need any additional resources on 16 that front. 17 THE COURT: Okav. MR. ROSENBERG: I would defer of course to the 18 19 special masters themselves and their schedule. 20 **THE COURT:** I'm anticipating that we're truly going 21 to trial here. I had an expectation that because you'd reached 22 a settlement before in 2015, that when you first came into my court you were very candid and said, "I screwed up," referring 23 24 to counsel not having judicial let's say consent decree or 25 something else occurring. Kind of refreshing, frankly. Thank

9

1 you. But also on your homeless population from your papers 2 it increased from 1200 to 3800 or more in that period of time, 3 and so back you came with litigation. 4 5 I somewhat anticipated that there might be a possibility of a settlement. But I'm not pushing that on you 6 7 in any way, shape, or form. And, in fact, I'm going to start moving cases now on 8 9 my calendar because I didn't quite know the reality of whether 10 we're going to trial. 11 And I'm not going to hold you to this. But if you 12 were going to put on the Plaintiffs' case, hypothetically how 13 long would you need? And by the way, you're not limited in 14 time. 15 MR. SILBERFELD: Five days for the Plaintiffs' case, 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Which makes two weeks, okay, all right. 18 Just kidding you. But five to seven days, okay. No pressure 19 on my part, okay. 20 How long do you folks need? 21 MR. ROSENBERG: I think likewise probably about the 22 same amount of time. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Five days to seven days. Let's 24 just take 14 days. So if we have, you know, four days a week 25 because most of you can't hold up -- I couldn't hold up doing

10

1	litigation five days a week. It was pretty hard. So probably
2	four days a week, giving you a day off. So we've really got
3	about, oh, roughly three to four weeks, don't we?
4	Now, before I get involved with the intervenors for
5	just a second, because you'll have your needs, remember this,
6	I'll never hurt you professionally. I'll growl at you, okay,
7	but I'll never hurt you in terms of your family, you know, your
8	personal life.
9	As far as your other cases are concerned, I'm not
10	concerned, unless you're Steve Wilson who's significantly older
11	than I am. I'm just joking, a little bit older. There's no
12	other seniority here, okay.
13	So we've got a July 23rd date that I'm holding to
14	tightly. But I also anticipate some of your witnesses are
15	going to give you pushback in that period of time if they've
16	got children, vacations, etcetera.
17	I can move it two weeks either direction. I can put
18	pressure on you and move it earlier, but I don't think that's
19	fair. You don't want that.
20	I can move it a little bit later. My drop-dead time
21	would be September, quite frankly. That's the latest I would
22	get the case off the ground.
23	But if you've got witness problems with children and
24	such to where they're pushing back or taking summer vacations,
25	I don't want that to happen to you as counsel when you're

11

1 getting this pushback, well, tell the judge I can't make it 2 because, then we're all going to be here. Is July 23rd a good date for all of you? And, if 3 not, I want you to have that discussion over the lunch hour. 4 5 And I represent to you that I'm willing to work with you and move this case, you know, a couple weeks and you have witness 6 7 problems, because I want a continuous trial, okay? And so it might be the week -- or the week we come 8 9 back from Labor Day, for instance. But I don't see moving it 10 from simply July to August because if you've got family 11 problems with your witnesses. 12 So talk about that and that I'll -- I can accommodate 13 you out of courtesy, but no later than September if we decide 14 to move it. And if we're going to move it, we ought to move it earlier rather than later, okay? 15 16 All right. The second thing is I want to talk to the 17 intervenors for just a moment. Now, you're Bridgeland 18 And I'm prepared with you moving for leave to file Resources. 19 a complaint and intervention under Federal Rule of Civil 20 Procedure 24(b)(1)(B) to grant your motion. 21 You filed that under docket 144. I'm allowing you to 22 intervene, and I actually have a tentative written order today 23 so I can make that pronouncement in one paragraph and get you 24 out of here quickly. 25 But I need the representation that you're going to be

1 ready for trial if you're intervening on the date that we set it. And right now, until I hear anything further, it's July 2 23rd. 3 Thank you for the opportunity, Your 4 MR. MCCORMICK: 5 Honor, and thank you for granting our motion to intervene. 6 At this time right now, I cannot say for certain that Bridgeland will not move to bifurcate its issues from the main 7 8 trial. We're certainly -- Bridgeland's certainly not 9 interested in slowing --10 **THE COURT:** Well just a moment. Then why are you 11 intervening? 12 MR. MCCORMICK: Excuse me, Your Honor? 13 **THE COURT:** Then why are you intervening? 14 MR. MCCORMICK: We're intervening to protect 15 Bridgeland's surface agreement that --16 THE COURT: You can file a separate complaint. Why 17 would I allow this intervention? In other words, if I'm going 18 to allow you to intervene -- and maybe I'm confused -- you're 19 ready for trial and you're ready for trial on this date. 20 Otherwise you're like the rest of the lessees, you 21 may choose to sue in the future. 22 But this trial won't be held up because of your 2.3 intervention. 24 MR. MCCORMICK: And Bridgeland's not interested in 25 slowing the trial of any issues that don't apply to Bridgeland.

13

1 But Bridgeland we believe has a due process right. If the 2 Plaintiffs are seeking to invalidate its surface agreement with the VA, Bridgeland has a right to participate in that 3 litigation --4 5 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to discuss that 6 because you're on dangerous ground. I was prepared to grant 7 I don't think I am at the present time. So you have a this. healthy discussion. Now, here's my direction to you. 8 9 You are ready to proceed on the date that we choose, 10 either July 23rd or shortly thereafter. And lead counsel will make that decision. Otherwise, you can file a separate 11 12 complaint, maybe the school will join you, maybe the parking 13 lots, maybe (inaudible) lessees. But nothing will interfere 14 with the trial date that we have set between the principal 15 parties. Am I clear? 16 MR. MCCORMICK: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: now that I'm clear, you can have that 18 discussion and decide tactically what you want to do. But if 19 you proceed along this line, it won't be intervening. 20 MR. MCCORMICK: Understand, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Understood? Nothing's going to hold up 22 this case other than the Court's courtesy to making certain I'm not inconveniencing witnesses, you know, people going on 23 24 vacation with their grandchildren, things like that. 25 So it makes no difference to me if it's July 23rd or

August 25th or September 4th. But my drop-dead date is early 1 That's the latest time I would take the matter. 2 September. And I'm hearing now that I need to start clearing my 3 calendar when you come back to me after lunch and give you 4 5 probably at least, you know, probably four weeks, which I need to do. I need to do that during couple other cases with other 6 counsel. And I didn't know what to do until I saw that there 7 8 really wasn't going to be a settlement. 9 Now, the second thing is, I think all (inaudible) disclosed that this is a court trial. 10 11 Yes, Your Honor, correct. MR. SPEAKER: 12 MR. SPEAKER: Correct. 13 MR. MCCORMICK: Correct from us, too, for our claim 14 for declaratory relief. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Court trial, right? 16 MR. MCCORMICK: Yes. 17 **THE COURT:** All right. Should I have an advisory 18 Nothing like the public getting involved. jury? Talk about 19 that. Because I'll make my own decision probably before an 20 advisory jury comes on. But I can impanel an advisory jury. 21 Maybe they would disagree with the Court's 22 proceeding, give you good reason for appeal. I don't -- I'm 23 not suggesting it but I'm just saying done it before. Don't 24 have to here. It can just be a court trial, okay? 25 MR. MCCORMICK: Your Honor, --

15

	16
1	THE COURT: I'm sorry, please.
2	<b>MR. MCCORMICK:</b> may I clarify the Court's ruling?
3	Are you inclined to deny the intervention motion under Rule
4	24 (a)
5	THE COURT: I don't repeat myself. You heard what I
6	said.
7	MR. MCCORMICK: Okay. I heard that you're
8	<b>THE COURT:</b> You can have a nice conference over
9	lunch. I was very clear, by the way.
10	MR. MCCORMICK: Okay.
11	
	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
12	MR. MCCORMICK: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Now, would you want to go to lunch
14	now and come back? Is that more of a benefit to you, and talk
15	to the special masters?
16	Because if you can talk to the special masters now,
17	I'll try to get you out before lunch because we have a
18	sanctions issue with the city coming back, and then at 3:00
19	o'clock we have some auditors coming in. So I'm at your
20	disposal.
21	MR. ROSENBERG: So, Your Honor, I suspect there's
22	actually quite a bit that we need to discuss. And I'm not sure
23	how long that's going to take.
24	THE COURT: Oh, don't worry, I
25	MR. ROSENBERG: No, sorry, I don't want to I just
	EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

-- I want to try to be efficient and --1 2 THE COURT: Don't be. MR. ROSENBERG: -- help move this case along. 3 Don't be. I'll be here to 9:00 o'clock THE COURT: 4 5 tonight if you want. 6 MR. ROSENBERG: Okay. 7 THE COURT: (Inaudible) tomorrow if you want. Don't 8 be --9 MR. ROSENBERG: No, I --THE COURT: -- efficient. Just get it done so we're 10 11 not having to come back or I'm getting phone calls about a 12 dispute between the two --13 MR. ROSENBERG: Right. 14 **THE COURT:** -- if you hash that out today, okay? MR. ROSENBERG: Right. My only point was that I 15 don't think it's something we can resolve, you know, before 16 lunch --17 18 THE COURT: Okay. **MR. ROSENBERG:** -- or in the next 30 minutes or an 19 20 hour. 21 THE COURT: One o'clock, --22 MR. ROSENBERG: I think it just take a little bit of 23 time. 24 THE COURT: -- 1:30 okay? 25 MR. SILBERFELD: One o'clock.

17

	18
1	THE COURT: One o'clock.
2	MR. SILBERFELD: Let's say 1:00 o'clock, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: One o'clock, okay. Then Jim and Daniel
4	or Judge Smith's here and Daniel's here at your disposal.
5	And can you meet with them Jim, Daniel, can you
6	meet with them over the lunch hour? Come on up for a moment.
7	Let's make sure you're being heard. And what problems are
8	occurring from their standpoint, and then tell me your worst
9	fears.
10	And use the microphone so I have a record. Yeah.
11	JUDGE SMITH: The list of problems is going to be
12	very short. Counsel have been very cooperative in all
13	respects.
14	THE COURT: Yeah.
15	JUDGE SMITH: Depositions have been not
16	confrontational, they've been adverse to each other but not
17	unreasonably so.
18	I think Mr. Garrie has experienced the same thing.
19	Our only critical path now is getting a deposition
20	scheduled. And I know that that's not easily it's easily
21	said and not necessarily easily done.
22	But we need to have that so that we can make whatever
23	arrangements or changes to our calendar so we can make sure
24	that we're not causing any inconvenience to the parties by
25	having to continue depositions because we're not available.

1	THE COURT: Yeah, here's my (inaudible) let me
2	sometimes I get cases with complexity where the 30(b)(6)
3	witness, for instance, is being exchanged. We start off with
4	one 30(b)(6) but we have a substitution or we have multiple
5	30(b)(6) witnesses. No.
6	Number two, we get piecemeal documentation coming in
7	and in good faith we take a deposition, something comes up that
8	wasn't disclosed, we then have to bring that 30(b)(6) back or
9	that percipient witness back.
10	And by that time they're pretty mad at us as counsel
11	and the Court because they just don't understand why they're
12	coming back the second or third time. No.
13	So I'm now worried about the document production.
14	And I now (inaudible) know exactly where we are in terms of
15	this document production and who we're having to call back for
16	the second or third time because these witnesses shouldn't be
17	harassed or inconvenienced. They're deposed one time out of
18	courtesy continuously.
19	And I for the life of me I don't understand why we
20	wouldn't have these documents because you've been in some kind
21	of litigation since 2011 or 2012. And you (inaudible) in 2015
22	and you had to have these leases then, you had to have these
23	documents then.
24	So the dog ate my homework excuse isn't any good, or
25	I can't find them isn't any good, or they're on a server I

EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

1 can't find. That's the duty of the government to disclose 2 those. So where are we, folks? Jim. 3 We've had no discovery motions at this 4 JUDGE SMITH: 5 point. 6 THE COURT: Well I know we haven't but that doesn't 7 mean they're not coming, you know, on the eve of trial. JUDGE SMITH: There's been indications in a couple of 8 9 my depositions and I think Mr. Garri's --10 THE COURT: Okay. You folks talk about that --11 JUDGE SMITH: -- the same thing --12 THE COURT: -- informally so I'm not, you know, 13 embarrassing any of you. Get these documents in. 14 And if we're not, guess what? We're in continuous 15 session apparently for the next couple weeks so they're in 16 continuous session. These documents are in and they're 17 attested to, and they're in forthwith; is that understood? 18 And since I'm going to make my life miserable for a 19 couple weeks, guess what? Get these documents in so we're 20 prepared so if you take a deposition on either side, we're not 21 calling that person back for the second or third time. 22 And we've had these documents since 2012, fair 23 enough? Okay. 24 MR. GARRIE: And --25 THE COURT: Daniel.

20

1 MR. GARRIE: -- I agree with Judge Smith, the part what the depositions have been, adversarial but --2 THE COURT: 3 Yeah. MR. GARRIE: -- cooperative and --4 5 THE COURT: Well, I have no doubt. Every feedback I've gotten from you should be extraordinary, professional, 6 7 adversarial but incredibly courteous with one another. I mean, 8 you really have my compliments from the bench about the way 9 you've conducted yourself. All of the input has been phenomenal, excellent. 10 11 My biggest fear is that with all of that courtesy 12 extended in the adversarial system, here come the documents. 13 Now you got time for a story? A great story. 14 In the Aryan Brotherhood case which involved 30 15 killings of Black victims, which was a nine-month trial, we're 16 getting ready to go to trial. 17 And after attestations from the Federal government 18 that all the documents have been disclosed, guess what? On the 19 eve of trial, 52,000 documents suddenly get disclosed. No. 20 We worked every weekend for four weeks to make 21 certain that the trial date was upheld. I don't want to do 22 that to you. Have I done it in the past? Absolutely. Get 2.3 these documents in. 24 (Inaudible) at the same times trying the Oklahoma 25 City bombing case. Death penalty is rendered. FBI suddenly

21

1 finds 50,000 additional documents that my (inaudible) colleague 2 has to go through. No. Okay. 3 Now, those are just kind of war stories from an old I've been through it. I want an attestation from them 4 judge. 5 when all of the documents are handed in. Otherwise, they're in continuous session again, okay? Each day. 6 7 Go get these documents, folks. We'll see you at 1:00 o'clock, okay? Have a nice lunch. 8 9 MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 (Recess taken from 11:27 a.m. to 1:05 p.m.) THE COURT: All right, folks, then we're back on the 12 13 record. I'll just refer this -- to this as the -- well, it's 14 Case Number 2002291. No, my apologies. It's 2208357, Jeffrey 15 Powers versus Mr. McDonug (sic). 16 MR. SPEAKER: McDonough. 17 THE COURT: McDonough, okay. All counsel are 18 present. 19 I just want to know where you're at as a courtesy and 20 probably send you right back into the room for whatever 21 discussions you're having that I don't need to be privy to. 22 MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, we have -- I don't know 2.3 how much detail the Court wants us to go into at this point, 24 but we do have a tricky situation regarding the processing and 25 review of the emails, which is a portion of the discovery in

1 the case. 2 As of course I mentioned earlier, we've already produced in excess of 120,000 pages of documents. But the --3 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. ROSENBERG: -- emails remain to be processed. And, you know, the parties are -- I think are 6 7 currently fairly far apart in terms of what that processing 8 will look like. We're trying to come up with a path forward, 9 and we've floated some ideas. 10 But I think it's going to take more conversations 11 between us. 12 THE COURT: Sure. 13 MR. ROSENBERG: We also have been talking to our 14 colleagues in the civil division in D.C. and they've been 15 involved in those conversations that we had in the jury room 16 over the last hour or so to try to figure out how to manage 17 this substantial resource problem. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You know my greatest fear is that 19 information comes trickling in, that we have multiple 20 depositions where witnesses really feel that they don't want to 21 come back to court, and also a last moment either intentional 22 or unintended document dump which then causes due process 23 problems in terms of a trial. 24 Because I need to clear out a month at least for you 25 folks and so I need to move probably 20 or 30 cases, which I've

1 delayed doing until we -- so where are we at from your 2 perspective? In other words, right now I know we have a problem. 3 MR. SILBERFELD: Right. 4 5 THE COURT: I don't want to delve into the problem because I have the two best special masters in the world with 6 7 me. Yeah. MR. SILBERFELD: So and --8 9 THE COURT: So what are we going to do about this? 10 MR. SILBERFELD: I think Special Master Garrie can 11 address this as well, but let me sort of summarize the problem 12 for the Court, if I may. THE COURT: Well, just as long as you're not 13 14 disclosing anything that's confidential between the two of you. 15 MR. SILBERFELD: I don't think so. 16 **THE COURT:** It's okay? 17 MR. ROSENBERG: I don't think so either but I want an 18 opportunity to respond. But --19 THE COURT: Okay. What's our problem. 20 MR. SILBERFELD: So in the document production that 21 we've gotten, there were no emails. We were told that there 22 are emails and there are three and a half terabytes worth of 2.3 email which, if using just normal-size pages, is about 250 24 million pages. 25 The government has not had the ability yet apparently

1 to process those in a way to make them searchable so that they 2 haven't been produced to us and they haven't been made searchable yet. And the issue is how long will that take? 3 4 These were document requests that were made for the 5 first time in January. There was a follow-up but these were 6 covered by the January request for production --7 THE COURT: I see. MR. ROSENBERG: -- of documents, and we're now in 8 9 April. And we have a discovery cutoff of May 1. 10 **THE COURT:** So that's causing difficulty because three or four months have gone by, --11 12 MR. ROSENBERG: Correct. 13 **THE COURT:** -- which then might cause -- from the 14 Court's perspective good cause for a continuance after we've 15 moved, you know, these blocks of time around. 16 MR. ROSENBERG: Right. And so the issue at the 17 moment that we've spent the last hour talking about is how much 18 time will it take the government to actually process this 19 information and produce it in a searchable way to us? And 20 we're told that's going to take 45 days. 21 I think I'll at this moment turn over to Special 22 Master Garrie who has some additional ideas about that. 2.3 **THE COURT:** Daniel, what are your thoughts? MR. GARRIE: Well, I haven't -- so my thoughts are 24 25 based on the information that I've been provided, which are,

25

1	you know, is I understand counsel's trying the best they can
2	limited they got the information yesterday.
3	But from what I understand, you know, it should take
4	two weeks to process. Not for them to produce it but for them
5	to actually for the lawyers to actually do something with
6	the information because just so we're talking apples to apples,
7	it's 45 days for them to just get the information to then
8	actually do something with the information.
9	And I think, you know, probably we could it could
10	be done in two weeks' time if the government was able to
11	find and I understand they're resource-constrained, but if
12	they would
13	THE COURT: No, they're the United States government.
14	They have plenty of resources.
15	MR. GARRIE: Okay. Well, I'm just repeating the
16	information that was communicated to me by the government that
17	they're resource-constrained because of other moving parts. We
18	were
19	THE COURT: That I don't understand that.
20	MR. GARRIE: Well neither do I, Your Honor, but I'm
21	repeating what I was told from the Department of Justice
22	THE COURT: I don't know what the constrained with
23	other moving parts means.
24	MR. GARRIE: and that they are resource and
25	capital-constrained.

EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

1	THE COURT: I don't know what that means. What is
2	constrained with other moving parts?
3	MR. ROSENBERG: All right. So let me simply put,
4	as the Court's aware, there is a recent appropriation to the
5	government.
6	Civil division's budget was cut. Civil division
7	manages an enormous number of cases across the entire country,
8	separate and apart from litigation that's handled by individual
9	U.S. Attorney's offices.
10	We have in-house processing of electronic discovery
11	that's done through contractors within the civil division, and
12	they have to balance the needs of multiple cases in this court,
13	in the Northern District of California,
14	THE COURT: Sure.
15	MR. ROSENBERG: DDC, everywhere.
16	THE COURT: I understand.
17	MR. ROSENBERG: You know, we are those are just
18	real constraints that are based on our appropriations.
19	There's also one other point that I think is
20	THE COURT: Well let's stop there for a moment.
21	MR. ROSENBERG: Okay, of course.
22	THE COURT: I don't mean to discount that. But who's
23	making these priority decisions? Give me a name. You
24	certainly have to talk to somebody who talks to somebody. I'd
25	like an actual name. Who's making the priority decisions about

1 whether this veterans case is lower or higher? Because this 2 has been going on since 2012. 3 MR. ROSENBERG: So I disagree on that this --4 THE COURT: That's okay. 5 MR. ROSENBERG: -- case has been --THE COURT: Give me a name. 6 7 MR. ROSENBERG: -- going on since --I'm sorry, I don't mean to quibble. 8 THE COURT: 9 MR. ROSENBERG: -- 2012. I think that's a false 10 premise. Give me a name. 11 THE COURT: 12 MR. ROSENBERG: There's no specific name, Your Honor, 13 that --14 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: -- I can provide. 15 16 THE COURT: Give me a group of names. Who's my 17 decisionmaker? 18 MR. ROSENBERG: I would say that it would be the e-19 discovery group within the civil division. 20 THE COURT: And you talked to them. 21 MR. ROSENBERG: They were actually -- a 22 representative was on the call with us earlier --2.3 THE COURT: And what's the representative's name? 24 MR. ROSENBERG: Leah Wolf. 25 THE COURT: Leah Wolf. And she then the person who's

controlling -- I don't mean controlling but making the 1 2 decision. MR. ROSENBERG: No, she -- and to be clear, you know, 3 this is an issue that we have flagged for that group to try to 4 5 work through this process. 6 THE COURT: Well I understand that. 7 MR. ROSENBERG: And she has been brought on board within the last couple of days, and she is in the process of 8 9 exploring what other resources can be applied. So --10 I know. THE COURT: **MR. ROSENBERG:** -- it's not a situation where a final 11 12 decision is made. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Who's then the decisionmaker from 14 allegedly January to April making these decisions about 15 disclosure? Because it seems that two or three months have 16 gone by without the Court being aware of this issue. Who was 17 making the decision then? A name. 18 **MR. ROSENBERG:** I don't think that there is a 19 decision there, Your Honor. I can explain the process as to 20 how the documents were produced and the order that they were 21 produced. That was a litigation decision. 22 And I think the context on this is critically 23 important. This is obviously a very important case. It's an 24 important case for the government, it's an important --25 THE COURT: Just a moment.

29

	30
1	MR. ROSENBERG: case for Plaintiffs,
2	THE COURT: Let me write this down.
3	MR. ROSENBERG: and for the Court.
4	THE COURT: Important case, and then I want to put
5	MR. ROSENBERG: But
6	THE COURT: priority question mark.
7	MR. ROSENBERG: It is a priority. But this Court
8	also has made clear that it wants the case to go to trial this
9	summer. And we understand that. But to do that, it has
10	provided for an extremely compressed discovery window: four
11	months.
12	And that four-month in that four-month discovery
13	window, the parties have been producing documents, responding
14	to interrogatories, responding to requests for admissions.
15	We have made multiple witnesses available for
16	depositions. We've worked very cooperatively with Plaintiffs.
17	And I'll note that indeed we even raised this issue
18	affirmatively with Plaintiffs when we identified that there was
19	a problem here.
20	But because of the extremely compressed discovery
21	window and the volume I think my colleague mentioned
22	somewhere in the neighborhood of 250 million emails, there are
23	just some practical limitations on what the scope of discovery
24	in this case can and should look like.
25	There's a valid argument that processing 250 million

1	emails in a four-month time period, part of which includes the
2	time to object and respond to discovery requests, is
3	disproportionate to the needs of this case.
4	We've also served objections and responses to the
5	discovery requests so we think some of the information that's
6	being sought is potentially out of scope.
7	I don't want to go into all of the details here. But
8	as these are issues that I think we've been able to at least to
9	this point work through with Plaintiffs' counsel.
10	But when the Court asks the question about, you know,
11	is this a priority case, the answer is yes. And enormous
12	resources have been spent on this case and will continue to be
13	spent on this case.
14	But the volume of information that is being sought at
15	this point in time is not feasible for the amount of time that
16	the government has. It is, at least from the government's
17	perspective, impossible.
18	Okay. So then I'm fleshing out so far this is what
19	I'm intaking. Judge, as we get closer to trial, there's going
20	to be a motion to continue this case probably by the Plaintiffs
21	because as they receive last-moment documentations, they're
22	going to claim due process problems.
23	Or we're all going to get caught by surprise during
24	this trial or have later-produced evidence if there's a verdict
25	one way or the other that didn't come in to trial.

EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

1 So what I'm hearing is the case doesn't get off the 2 ground. MR. ROSENBERG: I think what we would say is we have 3 been producing --4 5 THE COURT: No, I know that. **MR. ROSENBERG:** -- enormous volumes of materials. 6 7 THE COURT: Virtue --8 MR. ROSENBERG: Okay. 9 THE COURT: -- is with you, don't worry about that. 10 You've been producing. 11 MR. ROSENBERG: You know, one of the things that the 12 Court suggested --13 THE COURT: Am I going to move a month's worth of 14 cases, probably 20 or 30 cases? In other words, am I going to 15 call civil counsel and criminal counsel and block out a period 16 of time in July to August or August to September or September 17 and then find out that we have issues concerning due process or 18 lack of information that might be vital to either party? 19 That's when I might have a little bit of trouble with 20 both parties. 21 MR. ROSENBERG: I appreciate the concern that the 22 Court has over its schedule and its --2.3 THE COURT: Now I'm going to give you my priority. 24 MR. ROSENBERG: No, I --25 THE COURT: My other 20 cases have priority also.

32

1	I've got a patent case with billions of dollars. Do I call
2	them up with three million dollars of attorneys fees and say,
3	I'm moving you?
4	MR. ROSENBERG: So one of the things that the Court
5	raised before we broke was the possibility of moving the trial
6	back
7	THE COURT: Little bit.
8	MR. ROSENBERG: slightly to September.
9	THE COURT: Yeah.
10	MR. ROSENBERG: You know, I will say for various
11	reasons just for me personally that works better, and we do
12	have at least
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. ROSENBERG: one witness who's unavailable at
15	least the first week of the trial as it's currently scheduled.
16	And that additional time might provide
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. ROSENBERG: the runway to allow us to work out
19	this issue.
20	THE COURT: I'm not hold on. I'm not going to be
21	unreasonable about that. I mean, July to August doesn't make a
22	significant difference.
23	And I got worried, just so you know, I didn't know
24	what each of your personal commitments were in terms of family.
25	I'm not trying to hurt you in that regard.

33

But the case has to get off the ground in some block of time. And I thought, you know, I could move that back to September if need be. And since it's also a court trial, we can go a week

and take a couple days, you know, in that period of time if you both agree. And if you both agree, my response is going to be yes, got it? In other words, if you both agree to something, I'm probably going to take your wisdom.

9

10

14

MR. SPEAKER: Your Honor, --

THE COURT: Now, hold on, I'm going to be with you.

I'm terrified, okay. I don't know what I'm dealing with here. But, Daniel, can we process 3.5 million terabytes? Because you're working on a case right now with 1.9 --

MR. GARRIE: It's just --

15 THE COURT: -- million terabytes and you're getting 16 it done in a couple days frankly.

17 MR. GARRIE: Yeah. Well, the case actually has about 18 But anyways, the point is, is that we're working on 30 plus. 19 dedicated resources. And I appreciate what the government --20 what they're saying. It can be done, and I think safely in 15 21 days if the resources could be made available to do it. I --22 **THE COURT:** Yeah. And you, Daniel -- I'm going to interrupt. Here's what I'm afraid of. I'm afraid of anybody 23 24 in -- no, not Washington, D.C. specifically, but somebody 25 controlling this process when you're on the firing line, you

EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

1 know, in a sense, taking the Court's concern. That isn't fair to you. So that's why I want to know when Leah Wolf is going 2 3 to join us. MR. ROSENBERG: I actually have her on my iPad back 4 5 in the jury room if --6 THE COURT: Great. 7 MR. ROSENBERG: -- you would like to --Bring her out here and put her up here 8 THE COURT: 9 and let's get rid of the informality, let's get rid of the 10 rules. Let's talk to Leah and see when she's going to join us 11 because I don't want the pressure on you. You're my trial 12 counsel. I need to take care of you. 13 But somebody in D.C. isn't making a decision about 14 priority because I could say that this has the highest priority 15 concerning veterans in west L.A., or it may have a power 16 priority. 17 I don't care about your financial problems, do you 18 understand me? 19 MR. ROSENBERG: I do --20 THE COURT: After 12 --21 MR. ROSENBERG: -- understand you --22 THE COURT: -- years or 13 years, you're the highest 23 priority. 24 Now, Leah's not going to make that decision. 25 MR. ROSENBERG: So I fully respect the --

35

THE COURT: Go get her --1 2 MR. ROSENBERG: -- power of an Article Three Court --THE COURT: -- on the iPad so you're not in the 3 Let's have a discussion with Leah. 4 firing line. 5 MR. ROSENBERG: Thank you. Let me go if I may --THE COURT: Yeah, sure. 6 7 MR. ROSENBERG: -- go get the iPad. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: And just ask her about the plane fares. 9 Obviously she can't be out here tomorrow, but Monday would be 10 fine. 11 Logistically would it be easier if we MR. ROSENBERG: 12 were to call her on a Court phone rather than my iPad 13 (inaudible) --14 **THE COURT:** No. I'd rather see her because she's 15 coming out in person. So we might as well -- I might as well 16 give the bad news to her so you don't have to, okay? That will 17 keep you two friendly. 18 MR. ROSENBERG: Okay. 19 THE COURT: But she's going to be on that plane now. 20 I'll be right back with you. We're going to find out 21 the timeframes and get this going. 22 And if you want to, you can put it up on the screen 2.3 or --24 MR. ROSENBERG: I don't know what the (inaudible) --25 THE COURT: Just come on up. We can do this

36
1	informally. Why don't you come up here on the bench in fact?
2	MR. ROSENBERG: Oh, sure.
3	THE COURT: Don't be concerned. Forget the procedure
4	and let's get to it.
5	Hi, Leah. Can you see me?
6	MS. WOLF: I cannot see you but I can hear you.
7	THE COURT: A lot of people don't want to see me,
8	Leah. Hold on, we'll get you on the screen.
9	MS. WOLF: Okay.
10	THE COURT: There you go. Stay right with me. There
11	you
12	Hi, Leah. Can you see me now?
13	MS. WOLF: Hi, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Nice meeting you.
15	MS. WOLF: I can see you.
16	THE COURT: It's a pleasure.
17	So I need your help. Let me hear what let me tell
18	you what I'm hearing. And pardon the informality but we could
19	spend, you know, two weeks with documents back and forth.
20	It's been represented to me that you may be the
21	decisionmaker about limited government resources. Now, hold
22	on, don't confess to that. It may be President Biden. I'm
23	just joking with you, okay.
24	But to me, after a case being filed in 2012 involving
25	veterans that reached a settlement in 2015 involving homeless,

1	especially veterans all over the streets of Los Angeles who may
2	or may not need help, and not knowing whether there's liability
3	or not, and then finding a block of time for a month of trial
4	and moving at least 20 cases around to give the courtesy to the
5	parties, I'm hearing that we have a document issue.
6	I didn't know about the extent of that, Leah, until
7	recently. Pardon the informality. I'm Dave Carter. I
8	judge but okay.
9	MS. WOLF: No problem.
10	THE COURT: Yeah. If you're the decisionmaker, to me
11	that this has the highest priority. And a polite way of saying
12	is I don't know that I care about your problem concerning lack
13	of resources. I don't know how to more bluntly say that.
14	And I need these documents produced and I have two
15	ways of doing that. I want to take the easy way and I want
16	your cooperation. But if I can't then I've got another remedy.
17	Help me.
18	MS. WOLF: I absolutely yes, I absolutely
19	understand. I absolutely hear you. And the Department does
20	want to (inaudible)
21	THE COURT: Oh, I know that. That's not my question.
22	MS. WOLF: (Inaudible)
23	THE COURT: How are we going to get this done?
24	MS. WOLF: (Inaudible)
25	THE COURT: Okay, go ahead.

1 MS. WOLF: I think the best case scenario -- and to 2 clear things up, I am unfortunately not the decisionmaker (inaudible) --3 4 THE COURT: Just a moment, timeout. 5 MS. WOLF: There is --THE COURT: Leah, Leah, stop. I'm going to work up 6 7 the chain. Who is the decisionmaker? I want a name. Who's 8 the decisionmaker? 9 MS. WOLF: You want a name. 10 **THE COURT:** I want a name. Not a bureaucrat, not a bureaucracy, not we don't know, we're the government. I want a 11 12 name. Who's my decisionmaker? 13 MS. WOLF: The decisionmaker to allocate any kind of 14 funding within the civil --15 THE COURT: Who --16 MS. WOLF: -- division would probably -- it would be 17 a combination of our executive officer and Brian Boynton most 18 likely. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Let's slow that down. Who's your 20 executive --21 MS. WOLF: That being --22 THE COURT: Who's your executive --2.3 MS. WOLF: We have an acting executive. It's Dana 24 Martin right now, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Could you help me just because of --

39

1 MS. WOLF: Dana --2 MR. ROSENBERG: Oh. MS. WOLF: -- Martin. 3 MR. ROSENBERG: Dana, D-A-N-A, Martin, --4 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. ROSENBERG: -- M-A-R-T-I-N, --7 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ROSENBERG: -- is the executive officer. 8 9 THE COURT: Thank you, Leah. And who else? 10 MR. ROSENBERG: The other person she referred to is 11 Brian Boynton, B-O-Y-N-T-O-N. 12 THE COURT: B-O-Y --MR. ROSENBERG: N-T-O-N. 13 14 THE COURT: C-O --15 MR. ROSENBERG: "Τ." 16 THE COURT: T - O - N. 17 MR. ROSENBERG: Boynton. 18 THE COURT: I'm so happy you told me that because I didn't want this, you know, falling on your shoulders. But do 19 20 you believe that they're the decisionmakers on this? 21 MS. WOLF: I believe so. And I think what we would 22 recommend to them for the decision would be to, as we started 23 to do, work with this information that we very recently 24 received, start what they call processing it, so taking it from 25 the raw form and making it useable for our purposes and for

40

1 Plaintiffs' purposes.

_	
2	We can do that in chunks, Your Honor, so we can take
3	a couple of important VA folks, process them, and get them out
4	the door much more quickly than we could this large volume.
5	THE COURT: Daniel, Jim, come on up here for a
6	moment.
7	MS. WOLF: That would be the proposed.
8	THE COURT: No, come on.
9	MS. WOLF: And that's what I would suggest to the
10	decisionmakers as well.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And have they made a decision on
12	that?
13	MS. WOLF: Have they made a decision yet?
14	THE COURT: Yeah.
15	MS. WOLF: No, because we haven't had the chance to
16	propose that yet.
17	THE COURT: Behind me these two young people are
18	here.
19	Come here, youngsters.
20	This is Daniel Garrie is a special master. See him?
21	MR. GARRIE: Hello.
22	MS. WOLF: Hi.
23	THE COURT: And judge no, Judge Smith, come on
24	over. See him?
25	Now, Daniel,

1 MS. WOLF: Hi, Judge. **THE COURT:** -- without saying too much because it's 2 some national security concerns involved, you might check who 3 he is. And when you find out, I think --4 5 MS. WOLF: I understand. THE COURT: -- I've got if not the best one of the 6 7 best in the United States at what we're about in a whole 8 different arena, including this arena. 9 So, Daniel, talk to Leah for a moment and tell her 10 how quickly we're going to do this. 11 MR. GARRIE: Well, she's got to free up --12 THE COURT: Sit down. 13 MR. GARRIE: Leah needs to free up the capital 14 resources to get more servers. Without more servers, she can't 15 do anything. 16 Like the problem she has is that the Department of 17 Justice has a limited number of machines that can actually do 18 the work. And right now they're saying that those machines are allocated to, like I said to her earlier, many different moving 19 20 parts. 21 And she's unable to allocate whatever the resources 22 within the budget she has to get it done. Her boss or her 2.3 boss's boss or some boss somewhere in the food chain can tell 24 her that, hey, look, we found resources, and she can then 25 allocate the resources and get it done.

42

1 Otherwise, the discovery will not complete until 2 after discovery has closed for these email messages at the current rate. 3 Jim. Meet Judge Smith. 4 THE COURT: 5 JUDGE SMITH: Nice to meet you. The problem is 6 that --7 MS. WOLF: Likewise. JUDGE SMITH: -- if I had a pile of dirt to move, I 8 9 could move it for -- with an hour and a half with a skip loader or I could use a small shovel and take three or four days to 10 11 move it. 12 You've got to find a skip loader. And there's some 13 way in your system there's a big skip loader that can move that 14 dirt. And you've got to find it or else the Court's going to, 15 I think, make some orders that are going to be very difficult to deal with. 16 17 THE COURT: He made that threat, I didn't 18 specifically yet. 19 MS. WOLF: I hear you. 20 THE COURT: No, you won't, not yet, no, you don't. 21 I'm going to keep your attorneys here with me. You 22 inform whoever the decisionmaker is that they're back tomorrow, 23 they're back Monday, and you two start making decisions back 24 there, okay? Because I think this case has the highest 25 priority. Am I clear?

43

	44
1	MS. WOLF: (Inaudible)
2	THE COURT: Okay. Good. I'm glad we're
3	communicating because after 2012 to 2015 to 2021 to now,
4	somehow the idea that the government doesn't have resources
5	isn't something that I'm very receptive to, okay? All right.
6	MS. WOLF: Understood.
7	THE COURT: So, counsel, you're ordered back
8	tomorrow. Congratulations, you'll be living here. And we're
9	going to check every day.
10	And unfortunately I'm going to have to keep my
11	special masters here, which are expensive, and I don't want to
12	do that.
13	And I'm happy to talk to Dana Martin or Brian Boynton
14	so you're not in the middle of this. And I first of all, I
15	want to thank you for your courtesy.
16	And I'm conveying a message through you that's rather
17	harsh. And this is not directed at you. You've been nothing
18	but professional and polite. I want that on the record.
19	But as far as the government doing this, absolutely
20	not. Okay. So do you want me to
21	MS. WOLF: (Inaudible)
22	THE COURT: talk to Dana Martin? I'm happy to do
23	so personally so you're not in the middle. I'm happy to talk
24	to mister what's his name, McDonough who?
25	MR. SPEAKER: McDonough.

	45
1	THE COURT: Yeah, Mr. Dunna (sic), invite him to come
2	out. Apparently he has a busy calendar.
3	And I don't want you to see the fire-breathing judge
4	and be in the middle of this because this isn't your
5	responsibility. You're not the decisionmaker. Help me. What
6	do we do?
7	MS. WOLF: Thank you, Your Honor. I will. I have
8	I've started laying the groundwork and I will continue.
9	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, they're here
10	until we get a resolution that's satisfactory to me, okay?
11	MS. WOLF: I understand.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Daniel, anything further?
13	MR. GARRIE: No. I'm hopeful get to a resolution
14	today.
15	THE COURT: And they can communicate any time. In
16	other words, I will work with you on Saturdays and Sundays. Is
17	that do you understand that? I'll work with you to
18	MS. WOLF: I understand.
19	THE COURT: midnight tonight, okay. You don't
20	know the hours I keep.
21	MS. WOLF: Okay.
22	THE COURT: Just ask around.
23	MS. WOLF: Okay.
24	THE COURT: Okay, fully dedicated. But this case
25	gets off the ground because I'm not moving 20 or 30 cases on my

1 calendar, setting a date, and then having last-moment discovery 2 problems. And this apparently has been pending since January. 3 And I can't quite get a handle on how serious this is. 4 But 5 it's not fair to the Court, it's not fair to the litigants. And, quite frankly, not fair to other parties that I have to 6 7 move around. And I think you've got the resources frankly. 8 You're 9 the United States. 10 It's been a pleasure. 11 MS. WOLF: (Inaudible) 12 THE COURT: Come out here, always love to buy you a 13 cup of coffee. I'm smiling, which you'll never see Federal 14 judges do. So thank you very much for your courtesy. And it's 15 been a pleasure talking to you. 16 MS. WOLF: Likewise, thank you. 17 THE COURT: (Inaudible) hope you enjoy Los Angeles. 18 We need your money for our economy. But every day we're coming 19 back until we get some action on this, okay? 20 Now, do you want to have another conversation with 21 them in the back? 22 MR. GARRIE: Yeah, I think --2.3 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. GARRIE: -- yeah. 25 MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, may I be heard?

46

47 1 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I neglected you. I apologize. 2 MR. ROSENBAUM: No apology necessary. I just want to 3 make three quick --Oh, by the way, you're coming back also. 4 THE COURT: 5 So every day when they're inconvenienced and I'm inconvenienced, you're inconvenienced. 6 7 But this isn't going back into some room with somebody deciding, you know, the Wizard of Oz, and then we get 8 9 more stalling here. This should have been done long ago. 10 MR. ROSENBAUM: I just wanted to make three points 11 quickly, Your Honor. 12 One is Special Master Garrie offered to have this 13 matter sourced to him. And if I -- I don't want to 14 misrepresent it. As I understand it, he represented it could 15 be done in two weeks. 16 **THE COURT:** Daniel, can you do this in two weeks? 17 MR. GARRIE: If it's looseleaf emails, yes. 18 The second point is -- that I want to MR. ROSENBAUM: 19 make is the request for emails as Mr. Silberfeld said, was made 20 in January. We have not received a single email. And I've had 21 to conduct depositions without them. 22 THE COURT: And it leaves (inaudible) --2.3 MR. ROSENBAUM: And the third point I just want to 24 state on the record is that the budget for 2025 for the VA has 25 \$369 billion.

1 THE COURT: Let me write that down, \$369 billion. 2 MR. ROSENBAUM: Believe it is the second highest budgeted agency in the government. I'm not certain of that. 3 And the last thing is, with respect to the dates, we 4 5 have no inclination whatsoever to move the date backwards. Ιf 6 anything, we'd like to move it forward. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you go back and talk to the special masters and attempt to sort this out because we'll 8 9 be here for a while. 10 MR. ROSENBERG: May we respond to one --11 Oh, absolutely. THE COURT: 12 Yeah, I mean, I just want to make clear MR. KNAPP: 13 there have been two sets of document requests that were served 14 on us, one on January 3rd, one I believe it was February 8th. 15 I may be off by a day or two there. 16 But only one of the 23 requests that were in the first set addressed communications. 17 18 THE COURT: I see. 19 MR. KNAPP: We were clear about our objections and 20 the burden that that would impose. And we did not commit at 21 that point to searching for emails. 2.2 THE COURT: Okay. 2.3 MR. KNAPP: We then engaged in a -- you know, it's 24 back and forth. We had a call. We exchanged letters with 25 Plaintiffs' counsel. So we've been engaged on these issues to

1 define the scope.

2	We then received the second set of request for
3	productions which had still not even close to a majority of
4	their requests going to communications, but more categories of
5	information that they were seeking that included
6	communications.
7	That's really what we're talking about here where we
8	collected this data in response to that second set of requests.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Now here's what I'm going to do.
10	I haven't started to move all these cases yet. Right now it's
11	July 23rd, that's when you're going to trial.
12	I may move this back depending upon the input I get
13	just in terms of fairness. But my drop-dead date would be the
14	first week of September.
15	I don't see a significant difference in that. But by
16	the same token, right now if you're truly able to advance it, I
17	hear think I would hear from the other side unfairness,
18	okay. I don't want that.
19	So go work with Jim. And if we are successful today,
20	then fine. But we're here now until we get the decisionmakers
21	apparently back in Washington, D.C
22	MR. MCCORMICK: Your
23	THE COURT: making decisions.
24	MR. MCCORMICK: Your Honor, I think before the break
25	you wanted an update about Bridgeland, the proposed
	EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

1 intervenor's position.

 2
 THE COURT: Oh, my apology. Yes, I did. How are you

 3
 doing?

4 MR. MCCORMICK: We're doing fine. Unfortunately, at
5 this time Bridgeland cannot waive -- agree to waive its right
6 to seek a continuance of the trial or to bifurcate its

7 issues --

8

9

13

21

22

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MCCORMICK: -- given that --

10 THE COURT: Now, just a moment. Would you put up the 11 documents that we received? Because the representations are 12 exactly opposite what -- no, -- now you're quiet.

MR. MCCORMICK: And I can explain.

14 THE COURT: Now you will explain in a moment because 15 actually I read your documents. So put up the attestation. 16 And I want you to read what I'm reading as a judge before you 17 make this verbal representation. And if you're backing away, 18 that's fine.

But I want to read what I'm reading coming into this hearing and what you've said to me, okay?

MR. MCCORMICK: Absolutely.

THE COURT: All right.

23 (Judge/Clerk confer.)

24 THE COURT: This was on page 20, lines 24 through 26.
25 Bridgeland proposes asserting a single claim for declaratory

```
1
    relief.
 2
              Further, Bridgeland does not seek a trial
    continuance. Thus, Bridgeland's intervention will not delay
 3
    the proceedings.
 4
 5
              Counsel.
 6
              MR. MCCORMICK: At the time, that was our position.
 7
    I guess there's two points.
 8
              First of all, Bridgeland may need to move to
 9
    bifurcate its issues from the main trial. We have no interest
10
    in delaying issues that have nothing to do with Bridgeland.
11
              We understand there's a lot of those issues in this
12
    case and that Bridgeland's issues are a very small part of this
    larger litigation, which we have no visibility into and we have
13
14
    no interest in delaying those issues. Second --
15
              THE COURT: Just a moment. You have 6.4 -- if you'd
16
    help me. We have $6.4 million involved, don't we, that you're
17
    taking out of the ground?
18
              MR. MCCORMICK: Are you talking --
19
              THE COURT:
                          They're both on the VA property --
20
              MR. MCCORMICK: -- about the revenues from --
21
              THE COURT: -- and slant drilling, $6.4 million a
22
    year.
2.3
              MR. MCCORMICK: From one of the wells?
24
              THE COURT: Yes.
25
              MR. MCCORMICK:
                              Yeah.
```

1     THE COURT: Six point four.       2     MR. MCCORMICK: Okay.	
3 <b>THE COURT:</b> Where's that money going?	
4 MR. MCCORMICK: It goes to a number of places. It	
5 goes to royalties	
6 <b>THE COURT:</b> Two percent goes to the veterans.	
7 MR. MCCORMICK: Two point five, yes.	
8 <b>THE COURT:</b> Two point five. Where's the rest going	>
9 MR. MCCORMICK: A number of places	
10 THE COURT: To the veterans, 2.5 goes	
11 MR. MCCORMICK: There's another	
12 <b>THE COURT:</b> to the veterans.	
13 MR. MCCORMICK: working interest owner that	
14 Bridgeland shares the lessee's interests. There are also	
15 individual private owners in the Sawtelle neighborhood that	
16 have royalty interests and then	
17 <b>THE COURT:</b> But they're not going to the veterans,	
18 are they? In other words 2.5 percent are going to the veteral	ıs
19 organization for internal communication on the premises itsel:	•
20 In other words that 2.5 that's going to the veterans	3
21 is for actually buses running around west LA VA.	
22 MR. MCCORMICK: That's my understanding.	
23 <b>THE COURT:</b> Absolutely. Now, how does that help the	ý
24 veterans in fact when they're entitled to transportation? In	
25 other words, why isn't the government paying for that?	

1 MR. MCCORMICK: I don't think I can answer that 2 question. THE COURT: Well where's the rest of the 98 -- I'm 3 sorry, 97.5 percent going? 4 5 MR. MCCORMICK: It goes again to other royalty 6 interests, the other --7 **THE COURT:** Does that benefit the veterans? MR. MCCORMICK: I don't think so. 8 9 THE COURT: I don't think so either. MR. MCCORMICK: I don't have an answer. 10 11 MR. GUADIANA: Your Honor, to step --THE COURT: No, no, just a moment now. 12 13 MR. GUADIANA: So --14 THE COURT: I'm talking to you. 15 MR. MCCORMICK: Yes, sir. 16 **THE COURT:** Does it benefit the veterans, that 97.5 17 percent going to other entities? 18 MR. MCCORMICK: Our position is that the 2.5 percent 19 overriding royalty goes to --20 THE COURT: You said that. I asked you about the 21 97.5 percent. 22 MR. MCCORMICK: I don't have -- I mean, it goes 23 partially to our client, Bridgeland, and it goes partially to 24 the other working interest owner --25 THE COURT: Does any of it go to the veterans beside EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

1 the 2.5 percent? MR. MCCORMICK: It certainly doesn't go to the 2 Department of Veterans Affairs or the --3 **THE COURT:** Does any of that go to any veterans 4 5 organization? MR. MCCORMICK: The -- besides the 2.5 percent? 6 7 That's right. THE COURT: MR. MCCORMICK: Not to my knowledge. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay, there we go. Now, do you really want to join this lawsuit in intervention? I don't know what's 10 11 going to happen. There may be liability, no liability. 12 There are other entities involved, one or two parking 13 lots, other lessees out there, a school lot there. And, quite 14 frankly, they better either get in the game or wait. 15 Do you really want to have -- intervene in this 16 matter? Because whether we bifurcate or not, you're not going 17 to slow this process. If --18 MR. MCCORMICK: THE COURT: And I may be forcing you into trial at 19 20 that time; do you understand that? I may deny your motion to 21 bifurcate. I mean, you need to take that into account. 22 If you're coming in, you may be all the way in. So 23 I'm gently trying to tell you be very careful about what you're 24 representing to this Court. 25 MR. MCCORMICK: I understand. And --

54

1	THE COURT: Now, you have a little conference with
2	your colleague there and then he can speak. You two talk,
3	that's an order. Talk to one another
4	MR. MCCORMICK: We will discuss
5	THE COURT: And make an intelligent decision now what
6	you want to do. And if you want to intervene I'll probably let
7	you. But don't assume I'm going to let you bifurcate.
8	Okay, now, just counsel, did you want to say
9	something before you have this (inaudible)?
10	MR. GUADIANA: Well, the only thing that I was going
11	to speak about is the other 97.5 percent of the revenue.
12	This license allows us to slant drill through an
13	existing drill site. So the only land use component of this
14	license is for a passthrough right and allowing to comingle oil
15	on the property.
16	The mineral rights that are actually produced are not
17	owned by the Federal government. They are owned by citizens in
18	west L.A. So they are entitled to a large portion of the
19	revenue from this. That's all that I wanted to speak to, Your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: And respectfully I understand that.
22	MR. GUADIANA: Yes.
23	THE COURT: That has nothing to do with my question
24	if the other 97.5 percent benefits veterans or not.
25	MR. GUADIANA: TO my knowledge it does not.
-•	EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

	ØC
1	THE COURT: Okay. That's candid. And thank you.
2	Now do you want to intervene or not?
3	MR. MCCORMICK: We're certainly seeking that relief,
4	yes, absolutely. I mean, if Bridgeland's property interests
5	and contract interests are at stake, we have no
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. MCCORMICK: choice but to seek intervention.
8	THE COURT: Then I'm going to instruct you now, and I
9	can always back off that position, that you better be prepared
10	for trial on the date that I set. Am I clear?
11	In other words don't come to me later on if I make
12	the ruling that you're not bifurcating and that you're going
13	forward with all fours because I will keep you in the case and
14	force you in the case.
15	And you'll probably come to me with discovery issues
16	and say, Judge, not fair. So I'm putting you on notice now.
17	MR. MCCORMICK: I appreciate the notice.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. MCCORMICK: I mean, here's the position that
20	Bridgeland finds itself in. It had no idea about this case
21	until the last day of January. It moved to intervene as soon
22	as possible.
23	My understanding
24	THE COURT: Sure.
25	MR. MCCORMICK: under the current scheduling order

1 is that we would not even be able to serve written discovery at 2 this point if we were allowed to intervene. I think any litigant, especially when their property 3 and contract interests are at stake, should have a fair shot at 4 5 taking discovery and litigating their case --THE COURT: No, discovery's --6 7 MR. MCCORMICK: -- and defending their property --Discovery's been already taken with a 8 THE COURT: 9 number of people. This would cause rediscovery. 10 And I agree with you, you ought to have a fair shot. 11 But I'm not making a ruling. I'm warning you that I may not bifurcate. And you may be dragged into this lawsuit and I may 12 13 take the chance on appeal if there is liability. 14 Now, if there's liability, no issue, no problem. 15 Take that into account and fully hear me now. Okay. 16 MR. MCCORMICK: I understand, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. 17 18 Now, I would encourage you then to join this 19 discussion. I haven't made a decision yet. I want you fully 20 part of this discussion so that you understand what's 21 occurring. 22 We'll take it up again after you're done with Judge Smith and Daniel, okay? Make sure you still want to intervene, 23 24 okay. 25 MR. MCCORMICK: Thank you, Your Honor.

57

1 THE COURT: All right. Why don't --Thank you, Your Honor. 2 MR. GUADIANA: THE COURT: -- you go back now in the jury room. 3 (Recess taken from 1:44 p.m. to 1:44 p.m.) 4 5 THE COURT: (Inaudible) at 3:00 o'clock. I have a 6 4:00 o'clock matter probably with the county and the city, 7 okay? MR. SPEAKER: And if we're not able to resolve it by 8 9 then, what's the next day? Just for our planning purposes. 10 THE COURT: Monday. 11 MR. SPEAKER: Monday, okay. 12 You're continuously now. I mean, go home THE COURT: 13 with your families and I'll work with you and schedule in the 14 afternoon. But we're going to get this resolved so I'm not 15 setting aside 20 cases and surprised later on. 16 MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 (Recess taken from 1:44 p.m. to 2:07 p.m.) 19 THE COURT: All right. Now, --20 JUDGE SMITH: Pardon me, Your Honor, on the homeless 21 case, Judge, --22 THE COURT: -- pardon my -- pardon me for 23 interrupting again. Now we're going to go back to the Veterans 24 Administration case. Just remain seated. So counsel --25 JUDGE SMITH: On the --

58

	59
1	THE COURT: I see you gathering.
2	JUDGE SMITH: homeless case on the VA case,
3	counsel has a request, if he'd like to share it with you.
4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	MR. SILBERFELD: Yes, Your Honor. I think we've
6	gotten about as far as we can go today. I understand we're
7	ordered back tomorrow at 3:00. Between now and then the
8	government is going to see what it can do to solve the problems
9	that we discussed with the Court.
10	THE COURT: So good faith you'd like me to recess so
11	you have better things to do and you can't be productive until
12	tomorrow at 3:00 o'clock.
13	MR. SILBERFELD: Correct. But if there is some
14	progress that is made by the VA and the DOJ before 3:00 o'clock
15	tomorrow, they'll let us know and we'll let Mr. Garrie and
16	Judge Smith and the Court know.
17	THE COURT: But no matter what
18	MR. SILBERFELD: We're here tomorrow.
19	THE COURT: I want you back in court at 3:00
20	o'clock. All parties are ordered back.
21	MR. SILBERFELD: Yes, Your Honor.
22	<b>THE COURT:</b> Tomorrow at 3:00; is that acceptable?
23	MR. SPEAKER: Yes.
24	THE COURT: You go have a wonderful day and we'll see
25	how the VA does, okay?

	60
1	MR. SILBERFELD: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thank you very much. So (inaudible)
3	order all parties are ordered back 3:00 p.m. tomorrow, Karlen.
4	And the VA's going to find some funding.
5	(This proceeding was adjourned at 2:08 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES. INC.

## CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Join Hudson

April 6, 2024

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER