UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (WESTERN DIVISION - LOS ANGELES)

LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS,) CASE NO: 2:20-CV-02291-DOC-KES ET AL.,) CIVIL

Plaintiffs,) Los Angeles, California

vs.) Thursday, March 7, 2024

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.,) (1:36 p.m. to 1:45 p.m.)

) Defendants.)

HEARING RE:

MOTION TO ENFORCE THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND FOR SANCTIONS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES: SEE PAGE 2

Court Reporter: Recorded; CourtSmart

Courtroom Deputy: Karlen Dubon

Transcribed by: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 8365

Corpus Christi, TX 78468

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs: ELIZABETH A. MITCHELL, ESQ.

Umhofer Mitchell & King

767 S. Alameda Street, Suite 270

Los Angeles, CA 90021

213-394-7979

MATTHEW D. UMHOFER, ESQ. Umhofer Mitchell & King

767 S. Alameda Street, Suite 270

Los Angeles, CA 90021

213-394-7979

Also present: PAUL WEBSTER

For Defendants: JENNIFER M. HASHMALL, ESQ.

Miller Barondess, LLP

1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1000

Los Angeles, CA 90067

310-552-4400

SCOTT D. MARCUS, ESQ.

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office

200 N. Main St.

7th Floor, Room 675 Los Angeles, CA 90012

213-978-7558

For Intervenor: SHAYLA R. MYERS, ESQ.

Legal Aid Foundation of LA

7000 S. Broadway

Los Angeles, CA 90003

213-640-3983

CAROL A. SOBEL, ESQ.

1158 26th Street

Suite 552

Santa Monica, CA 90403

310-393-3055

Proposed Intervenor: STEPHEN YAGMAN, ESQ.

Yagman & Reichmann

333 Washington Boulevard Venice Beach, CA 90292

310-452-3200

| | #:20882 | |
|----|-------------------|------|
| | | 3 |
| 1 | IN | DEX |
| 2 | WITNESS TESTIMONY | PAGE |
| 3 | MATT SZABO | |
| 4 | COURT INQUIRY | 5 |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

Second, the controller, Sergio, the assistant, will

Matt Szabo - Court Inquiry 5 1 be here tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock. And as such, they will be 2 testifying concerning a number of questions. 3 But I'm going to ask Matt Szabo to step forward for just a moment. I'd like to put you under oath now, and if 4 5 you'd come here for just a minute, please. If you'd administer your oath to the executive. 6 7 THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. MATT SZABO, SWORN 8 9 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. If you'll please take 10 the witness stand, it's just to my right. Thank you. And would you be kind enough to state your name for 11 12 the record after you're comfortably seated? 13 MR. SPEAKER: You want me to grab that? Did we take the chair away? There was a purpose 14 15 (inaudible). Thank you. (Inaudible) Mr. Szabo's been --16 MR. SPEAKER: Comfortable chair. 17 THE COURT: -- kind enough to retrieve a chair. Okay. State your name for the record, please. 18 19 THE WITNESS: My name is Matt Szabo. 20 THE COURT: Would you spell your last name, please? 21 THE WITNESS: It's S-Z-A-B-O. 22 THE COURT: Sergio and the controller will be present 23 tomorrow to testify in this matter. There's been a lot of 24 conversation you've heard sitting in the audience about the 25 audit.

6 Matt Szabo - Court Inquiry 1 And I know that you emailed the special master your 2 thoughts about this. But I want this under oath, and that is 3 if a program or programs are centralized in the mayor's office, 4 does the controller have the authority and power to audit those 5 programs? 6 MR. MARCUS: Your Honor, Scott Marcus on behalf of 7 the City. I don't know that Mr. Szabo is the appropriate 8 witness for that. That's a legal determination that the Court 9 can make based on the charter --10 THE COURT: Thank you, overruled. You can answer 11 that question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: So in normal circumstances, if issues 13 like this are raised, I would consult with the City attorney to 14 (inaudible) --15 THE COURT: Sure. THE WITNESS: -- determination on the charter. But 16 17 typically -- so I am not in a position to opine on --18 THE COURT: I understand that. 19 THE WITNESS: -- this case. Typically programmatic 20 audits are not conducted by the controller's office on elected 21 officials. 22 THE COURT: All right. And that would mean that the 23 audits of these different programs, whether it's LA Alliance or 24 Inside Safe, at least subject to disagreement and advice of 25 your counsel of course who may disagree, but aren't subject to

7 Matt Szabo - Court Inquiry 1 an audit; is that correct, by the controller's office? 2 THE WITNESS: Again, under this circumstance, the typical determination would be that the programs under the 3 purview of the mayor, in this case Inside Safe and its 4 5 associated programs, would not be. 6 Other programs that serve to comply with the 7 requirements of the alliance would be. 8 THE COURT: Matt, if you can't audit these programs, 9 how would there be public accountability unless there's an 10 outside audit? In other words, by you -- by I mean the 11 controller? THE WITNESS: Well, there are a number of ways that 12 13 the City conducts audits. My office, for example, does have 14 the authority to conduct programmatic audits, and from time to 15 time we are asked to do so by the City counsel. 16 THE COURT: Let's assume, though, that the Court 17 might be uncomfortable with the very entity being audited 18 conducting the audit. Let's assume that's a concern. 19 THE WITNESS: So I would say that the office of the 20 CAO and the office of the City controller are the two primary 21 entities that would conduct or contract for outside audits. 22 THE COURT: Okay. If the controller is unable to 23 audit any programs run by the mayor's office, then the concern 24 might be that the mayor's office shifting from addressing 25 homelessness on a district by district basis to a citywide

8 Matt Szabo - Court Inquiry 1 approach centralized in the mayor's office would shield -- and 2 shield's a bad word, but shield the City from accountability 3 and oversight, wouldn't it? MR. MARCUS: Your Honor, again, I need to object. 4 5 This is not anything that was part of the motion for sanctions. 6 An audit was not part of the relief requested. It is not part 7 of the briefing. I do not think Mr. Szabo is an appropriate 8 witness to answer these questions at this time. 9 THE COURT: Well who would be? Because Mr. Szabo 10 contacted with the special master, who I'll bring out in a 11 moment. And I'm going to show you both the email in just a 12 moment. 13 So the controller cannot audit a program under the control of the mayor. He can't audit other elected officials. 14 15 You disagree with that. 16 MR. MARCUS: I do not disagree with that. That is --17 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 18 MR. MARCUS: -- what is stated in our charter. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 MR. MARCUS: But, again, --21 THE COURT: Thank you. 22 Is that still your opinion? That doesn't mean it's 23 legally binding. Is that still your opinion? 24 THE WITNESS: That's my opinion, yes. 25 THE COURT: Now, the controller's going to be Okay.

Matt Szabo - Court Inquiry 9 here tomorrow. Would you be present? Because there may be the 1 2 controller's trying to search out this answer also. And apparently (inaudible) the special master I'm 3 4 learning that this has been a debate for well over a year 5 within the controller's office. So their position is equivocal and they're not certain what your position is on this, although 6 7 there may be a conflict. And you can work that with your counsel. They'll --8 9 they can bring their counsel tomorrow also. 10 And I don't mean to embarrass you in any way. First 11 of all, humbly thank you, okay. And I appreciate the 12 candidness of this. 13 And, counsel, I'm not going to allow any questions at 14 this time. There'll be questions later on, upon receipt of 15 that email. 16 Of course I'm concerned how an audit would be 17 conducted if not through an outside source. 18 So, Matthew, you may step down. I'm going to ask you 19 to be here tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock. 20 (Witness steps down.) 21 All right. We'll take testimony from the controller 22 tomorrow. Are you prepared to either reach a stipulation or 23 present evidence concerning the conversation that you've had 24 with Mercedes Marquez? 25 MS. MITCHELL: Two things, Your Honor. So, one, we

- did reach a stipulation. I emailed chambers with a copy of
- 2 | that stipulation right before we began back at 1:30, so that's
- 3 | already done.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. I'll get off the bench. If you
- 5 | can reach a stipulation, I'm going to accept that.
- 6 MS. MITCHELL: Yes.
- 7 **THE COURT:** If you can't reach a stipulation, then
- 8 | the testimony will (inaudible) you can call Paul Webster today.
- 9 If Daniel Conway isn't available, try tomorrow
- 10 because we'll be in session tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock with the
- 11 | controller.
- 12 And I can take Conway's testimony or I can delay
- 13 | that. But now I want to pay the courtesy of the mayor
- 14 returning next Thursday so she's involved.
- 15 MS. MITCHELL: Your Honor, so a couple things. So we
- 16 did reach a stipulation. The Court has a copy of it in
- 17 | chambers email.
- 18 THE COURT: I do?
- 19 MS. MITCHELL: So that's done. The stipulation was
- 20 | sent to DOC chambers 20 minutes ago.
- 21 **THE COURT:** It was.
- 22 MS. MITCHELL: Yes.
- 23 **THE COURT:** Well let me get that stipulation then.
- MS. MITCHELL: Okay.
- 25 | THE COURT: I apologize. I'm going to get off --

```
11
1
              MS. MITCHELL: No problem.
 2
              THE COURT: -- the bench so -- we've been back in the
 3
    back talking about all of you folks, --
 4
              MS. MITCHELL: Briefly, Your --
 5
              THE COURT: -- so we'll be right back.
 6
              MS. MITCHELL: -- Honor, before the Court goes, can I
 7
    make a suggestion that if we're coming back next week for the
 8
    mayor, that we also have the controller come back next week as
 9
    well and we handle both -- all pieces --
10
              THE COURT: Oh, no.
11
              MS. MITCHELL: -- at the same time?
12
              THE COURT: No, no. I want this tied down in close
13
    proximity to Mr. Szabo.
14
              MS. MITCHELL:
                             Okay.
15
              THE COURT: And then we can take additional
16
    testimony.
17
              MS. MITCHELL: Okay.
18
                          But right now I don't want to go in over
              THE COURT:
19
    to third session because we haven't reached resolutions or
20
    accommodation I'll be writing as of Thursday night next week,
21
    okay.
22
              MS. MITCHELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: So we're in recess. I'll go get the
24
    stipulation.
25
```

- Mr. Marcus on behalf of the City.
- 2 MR. MARCUS: Thank you. Scott Marcus on behalf of
- 3 | the City of Los Angeles.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. And on behalf of the County.
- 5 MS. HASHMALL: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Mira
- 6 Hashmall for the County.
- 7 THE COURT: And then Ms. Sobel and Ms. Myer.
- 8 MS. SOBEL: Carol Sobel on behalf of Intervenors.
- 9 MS. MYERS: Shayla Myers on behalf of Los Angeles
- 10 | Community Action Network and LA Catholic Worker.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Okay. The Court's received over the
- 12 | lunch hour stipulated facts re: RFQ agreements, March 15th,
- 13 2023.

- Do we have the capability of putting this up on the
- 15 | ELMO, Una (phonetic), Karlen? Do we have an additional copy we
- 16 | can put up?
- 17 (Clerk/Judge confer.)
- 18 | THE COURT: Counsel, when I'm done reading this, I'm
- 19 going to ask you in light of the stipulation if either the City
- 20 or LA Alliance or anyone else would like to add additional
- 21 information to the stipulation; and, if so, then you can call a
- 22 | witness. If you're satisfied, then so be it.
- But in reading this, it would seem to the Court that
- 24 | I would then, in writing an opinion, find that you were misled
- 25 | from March 15th minimally through October 16th, Ms. Mitchell,

```
1 by the representations of Mercedes is it Lewis?
```

2 MS. MITCHELL: Marquez.

THE COURT: Marquez, Mercedes Marquez, in terms of the representations made to you. And I'm putting all parties on fair notice that you can -- if I go with this stipulation, then maybe neither one of you are calling additional witnesses.

But otherwise you're both welcome to call those witnesses. But that would be the Court's belief and probably my written opinion concerning this. Now, what I do with it is subject to argument.

But, all right, stipulated facts re: RFQ agreement, March 15th, 2023.

First, LA Alliance, represented by Elizabeth Mitchell and Daniel Conway, met with the City represented by Scott Marcus, David Michaelson, and Mercedes Marquez on March 8th and again March 15th, 2023 to discuss the City's noncompliance with the agreement.

On March 15th, 2023 the City through then-Chief
Housing and Homelessness Officer Mercedes Marquez claimed that
the City had significant plans intended to come into compliance
with Section 5.2 subsection two and subsection four.

Specifically, Marquez stated that the City had already put out an RFQ, request for quote or request for qualification, for service outreach providers, would be fully staffed with an assigned service outreach provider for each

1 district by July 1st, 2023, and would have each district fully 2 assessed, which was described as identifying the numbers of unsheltered PEH, plus a description of the needs of various 3 groups, including an estimate of the number of individuals with 4 5 serious mental illness and substance use disorder in each 6 district by September 30th, 2023. 7 Ms. Marquez promised that once the effort was 8 complete, the City would then provide the Alliance its proposed 9 encampment milestones and deadlines by October 1st, 2023. 10 Second, Elizabeth Mitchell summarized the meeting in an email thereafter directed to Scott Marcus, Mercedes Marquez, 11 12 and David Michaelson. 13 In our last meeting, we talked about the RFQ that the City has put out for a list of qualified service outreach 14 15 providers, and that the City expects to be fully staffed with 16 the district's chosen providers by July 1st. 17 We also discussed that the City could commit to 18 having each district fully assessed and could get us a list of 19 proposed milestones and deadlines within three months 20 thereafter, October 1st. 21 Third, relying upon the promises of the new mayor's 22 representative and extending a good faith opportunity to a new 23 administration, LA Alliance agreed to the extension. 24 Mr. Marquez, on behalf of the City, confirmed the

request for extension and agreed the City would provide

```
1
    district-specific encampment milestones by October 1st, 2023.
 2
              Fourth, the City did not complete the RFO process as
    represented to LA Alliance. It did not have each district
 3
 4
    fully assessed to help establish appropriate milestones as the
 5
    City had committed to on March 15, 2023.
 6
              Two days after October 1st, the City emailed its
 7
    encampment engagement cleaning and resolution proposal that
 8
    contained no proposed district by district deadlines or
 9
    milestones at all.
              First of all, Ms. Mitchell, your signature's on that.
10
11
              And, Mr. Marquez, I understand that you were going to
12
    sign this but there was a trouble with the --
13
              MR. MARCUS: Scott Marcus on behalf of the City, Your
14
            I did sign a copy that was brought out by the judicial
15
    assistant.
16
                          I don't have that so --
              THE COURT:
17
              MS. MITCHELL: That -- this one right here's signed.
18
              THE COURT: Oh, do you have it right here?
19
              MS. MITCHELL: Yes.
              MR. MARCUS: I believe --
20
21
                          Are both of your signatures on that?
              THE COURT:
22
              MR. MARCUS: I believe the one on the ELMO has both
23
    of our signatures, yes.
24
              THE COURT: Una?
25
              MS. SPEAKER:
                             Yes.
```

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

on / Julian

March 8, 2024

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER