Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 1 of 21 Page ID #:21602

CENTRAL	STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION - LOS ANGELES)	
LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RI ET AL., Plaintiff vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ET Defendant	<pre>) Los Angeles, California)) Monday, April 8, 2024 AL.,) (4:20 p.m. to 4:38 p.m.)) (5:04 p.m. to 5:09 p.m.)</pre>	
HEARING RE: STATUS CONFERENCE RE DEFENDANT COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES' PROGRESS IN COMPLYING WITH THEIR OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
APPEARANCES:	SEE PAGE 2	
Court Reporter:	Recorded; CourtSmart	
Courtroom Deputy:	Karlen Dubon	
Transcribed by:	Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc. P.O. Box 8365 Corpus Christi, TX 78468 361 949-2988	
Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.		

2

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs:	ELIZABETH A. MITCHELL, ESQ. Umhofer Mitchell & King 767 S. Alameda Street, Suite 270 Los Angeles, CA 90021 213-394-7979
For Defendants:	SCOTT D. MARCUS, ESQ. Los Angeles City Attorney's Office 200 N. Main St. 7th Floor, Room 675 Los Angeles, CA 90012 213-978-7558 JENNIFER M. HASHMALL, ESQ. Miller Barondess
	2121 Avenue of the Stars Suite 2600 Los Angeles, CA 90067
For Intervenor:	SHAYLA R. MYERS, ESQ. Legal Aid Foundation of LA 7000 S. Broadway Los Angeles, CA 90003 213-640-3983
Also present:	SPECIAL MASTER MICHELE MARTINEZ

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 3 of 21 Page ID #:21604

	#:21604
1	Los Angeles, California; Monday, April 8, 2024; 4:20 p.m.
2	000
3	THE COURT: We're on the record on LA Alliance for
4	Human Rights versus the City of Los Angeles, Case Number
5	20-02291.
6	And, Counsel, if you'd start with your appearances.
7	Just remain seated.
8	MS. MITCHELL: Good afternoon, Elizabeth Mitchell on
9	behalf of Plaintiffs.
10	MR. MARCUS: Scott Marcus on behalf of the City of
11	Los Angeles.
12	MS. HASHMALL: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Mira
13	Hashmall for the County of Los Angeles.
14	MS. MYERS: Shayla Myers on behalf of the
15	Intervenors.
16	THE COURT: All right. First, I hope all of you have
17	had time to have informal discussions with the Special Master,
18	with each other.
19	Ms. Mitchell, have you had enough time?
20	MS. MITCHELL: We did discuss the status of the MOUs.
21	I haven't heard anything beyond that
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MS. MITCHELL: and I'm interested in open court to
24	hear the status.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And, Scott, have you had enough
	EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 4 of 21 Page ID

4

#:21605

1 time? 2 MR. MARCUS: Yes. THE COURT: Ms. Hashmall? 3 4 MS. HASHMALL: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Shayla? 6 MS. MYERS: Same as Ms. Mitchell. 7 THE COURT: Okay. That was uniquely between the 8 County and the City concerning the MOU. 9 First, I would like the auditing firm to come 10 forward. If you folks would be so kind just to stand up and 11 come up to the lectern. 12 First, would you just identify yourself by name, 13 please? Well, first of all, state your firm. 14 MS. RAFFERTY: Our firm is Alvarez and Marsal. 15 **THE COURT:** And then who each of you are appearing 16 today in court. 17 MS. RAFFERTY: I'm Diane Rafferty. I'm the Managing 18 Director with Public Sector Group. 19 THE COURT: Pleasure. 20 MS. RAFFERTY: And I work in Health and Human 21 Services. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 I'm David McCurley, Managing Director MS. MCCURLEY: 24 with our State and Local Government Practice. 25 MS. MCCURLEY: Pleasure.

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 5 of 21 Page ID #:21606

5

1	MS. COLLIER: Laura Collier, Director, also with the
2	Public Health and Human Services.
3	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
4	MR. MCKEE: My name is Scott McKee. I'm a Managing
5	Director in the Disputes and Investigations.
6	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
7	MR. POTTER: Michael Potter, I'm a Senior Director in
8	our Public Sectors.
9	THE COURT: Ms. Mitchell, I'd like you give some
10	representation concerning your interaction first informally
11	before the Court met with the firm today. And previously,
12	Ms. Mitchell, you had believed that this was the choice on
13	behalf of LA Alliance. Is this still your choice?
14	MS. MITCHELL: It is, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Scott, on behalf of L.A. City, still your
16	choice?
17	MR. MARCUS: Yes, Your Honor, we have no objection to
18	this firm.
19	THE COURT: All right. Shayla, still your choice?
20	MS. MYERS: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Then if you would.
22	SPECIAL MASTER MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor, and I will
23	be very short. I made it very clear to Alvarez and Marsal that
24	they were not going to audit 88 cities. It was just the City
25	of Los Angeles, obviously LAASA (phonetic) and the three
	EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES. INC

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 6 of 21 Page ID #:21607

6

1	programs that we discussed or initiatives which is the
2	Alliance, the Inside Safe and the Freeway Agreement.
3	And so we got all of that squared away and more
4	importantly, their commitment to this and so they are
5	definitely on board and their commitment to the range that the
6	City Council provided even though, Judge, you ultimately have
7	the discretion but they made a commitment to ensuring that they
8	would be able to stay within that frame of the range.
9	THE COURT: And I want to compliment you for your
10	civic mindedness. It's an amazing undertaking on your part and
11	one I think that will have tremendous benefits to the City and
12	eventually maybe to all of California in terms of your setting
13	the precedence.
14	I couldn't be more complimentary about my initial
15	meeting and in those discussions, I've asked you to take to the
16	streets almost immediately. We'll notify you concerning the
17	time and the place very shortly. I want you to meet the
18	community.
19	And so, Don (phonetic), you're here and Catherine
20	(phonetic). I'll contact you but I want them to be out on the
21	streets first and see the community. See the residents.
22	They've been impacted by this. See the homeless and their
23	situation. Try to get a good overall perspective from the
24	residents, to the homeless, to just our whole community here in
25	Los Angeles.

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 7 of 21 Page ID #:21608

will be on more than one occasion.

I may be asking you to go to one more location but we'll probably start on Skid Row. We may end up in Venice or the Valley on more than one occasion. And I apologize but I'd you to meet me, as I said, this morning as early as 6:30 because some of the folks start to move at that time. And it

7

7 If you have any questions of me, I'm happy to respond 8 to them as I did this morning but as far as I'm concerned, I'm 9 going to finalize you with your consent then to be the auditing 10 entity and you have the Court's full support. And I want to 11 state that repeatedly. In a sense, you're almost like I perceive the Grand Jury to be. The Grand Jurors are created by 12 13 the Court. In a sense, you're the arm of the Court undertaking 14 this audit on behalf of our city.

Do you have any questions of me? If not, you'll be receiving a call prior to midnight -- just joking. But you'll be receiving a call pretty quickly from me and I expect within the next seven days, we'll be out in the street. I stand off to the side, by the way. I don't want to be influencing you but we'll take you into the communities.

Any questions of me?

(All indicate "No")

6

21

22

I can't tell you how much you're appreciated and thank you very much. It's a great opportunity to make things a lot better for everyone. Congratulations! Thank you very

8

1 much. 2 (Pause) THE COURT: Now, Counsel, concerning the MOU, what 3 4 are your thoughts? 5 MR. MARCUS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. The County and the City have been making progress -- substantial progress 6 7 on the MOU including discussions over the weekend, further 8 exchange of written materials. We think it makes the most 9 sense to come back Thursday at 2:00 p.m. to give a further 10 update to the Court --11 THE COURT: Okay. MR. MARCUS: -- to let you know exactly where we are 12 13 at that point. 14 **THE COURT:** After receiving input from Michele and 15 from the County as well -- is this your request also, firstly? 16 MS. HASHMALL: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. I want to compliment you because I 18 know you were working on Sunday. I know you worked very hard 19 on this and that's exactly what's needed. So you have my 20 compliments. I know you are very close. I know you have to go 21 back to certain entities. So there's no reason to reconvene 22 tomorrow. It's a waste of your time -- and on Wednesday. 23 So Thursday at 2:00 o'clock on the MOUs, correct? 24 MR. MARCUS: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Okay. So the events on the docket are

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 9 of 21 Page ID #:21610

9

 going to show that we'll reconvene at 2:00 o'clock on Thursday. Okay. And that will be in the Courtroom 1 off of the first floor. This is the only day we're in 6A. Let's move on to the sanctions. Ms. Mitchell? MS. MITCHELL: What is the Court looking for? THE COURT: Well, I'm not. Last time it was represented by Matt who was here that you were ignoring the sanctions moved to in this matter. MS. MITCHELL: No, Your Honor, it's not we had never agreed to withdraw. Instead, it was a stipulated resolution of the sanctions motion. THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so I'm in complete understanding.
 floor. This is the only day we're in 6A. Let's move on to the sanctions. Ms. Mitchell? MS. MITCHELL: What is the Court looking for? THE COURT: Well, I'm not. Last time it was represented by Matt who was here that you were ignoring the sanctions moved to in this matter. MS. MITCHELL: No, Your Honor, it's not we had never agreed to withdraw. Instead, it was a stipulated resolution of the sanctions motion. THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so
 4 Let's move on to the sanctions. Ms. Mitchell? 5 MS. MITCHELL: What is the Court looking for? 6 THE COURT: Well, I'm not. Last time it was 7 represented by Matt who was here that you were ignoring the 8 sanctions moved to in this matter. 9 MS. MITCHELL: No, Your Honor, it's not we had never 10 agreed to withdraw. Instead, it was a stipulated resolution of 11 the sanctions motion. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so
 MS. MITCHELL: What is the Court looking for? THE COURT: Well, I'm not. Last time it was represented by Matt who was here that you were ignoring the sanctions moved to in this matter. MS. MITCHELL: No, Your Honor, it's not we had never agreed to withdraw. Instead, it was a stipulated resolution of the sanctions motion. THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so
 6 THE COURT: Well, I'm not. Last time it was 7 represented by Matt who was here that you were ignoring the 8 sanctions moved to in this matter. 9 MS. MITCHELL: No, Your Honor, it's not we had never 10 agreed to withdraw. Instead, it was a stipulated resolution of 11 the sanctions motion. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so
7 represented by Matt who was here that you were ignoring the 8 sanctions moved to in this matter. 9 MS. MITCHELL: No, Your Honor, it's not we had never 10 agreed to withdraw. Instead, it was a stipulated resolution of 11 the sanctions motion. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so
8 sanctions moved to in this matter. 9 MS. MITCHELL: No, Your Honor, it's not we had never 10 agreed to withdraw. Instead, it was a stipulated resolution of 11 the sanctions motion. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so
 9 MS. MITCHELL: No, Your Honor, it's not we had never 10 agreed to withdraw. Instead, it was a stipulated resolution of 11 the sanctions motion. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so
10 agreed to withdraw. Instead, it was a stipulated resolution of 11 the sanctions motion. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so
11 the sanctions motion. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so
12 THE COURT: Okay. Now, state that for me again so
13 I'm in complete understanding.
14 MS. MITCHELL: Yes. The agreement that we reached
15 with the City was a stipulated resolution
16 THE COURT: Okay.
17 MS. MITCHELL: for the motion for sanctions.
18 THE COURT: And that would include the latest filing?
19 MS. MITCHELL: Correct.
20 (Pause)
21 THE COURT: How am I to proceed? In other words,
22 what is the agreement then between the two of you?
23 MS. MITCHELL: The agreement between the City and the
24 Alliance is as was filed I think it was on Thursday and I
25 don't know the docket number off the top of my head but it was

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 10 of 21 Page ID

10

#:21611

1 the agreement by the City to participate in and pay for the 2 cost of the audit, to engage in monthly -- at least monthly 3 meetings with the Alliance between the Alliance and the City 4 and to pay certain costs and fees. I think those are the three 5 stipulations. 6 Then I was unclear. What happens to the THE COURT: 7 request for sanctions on your part? MS. MITCHELL: I think it's resolved at this point, 8 9 Your Honor. 10 **THE COURT:** So is that between both parties? 11 MS. MITCHELL: Correct. THE COURT: And so I would not be proceeding with the 12 13 sanctions motion; is that correct? 14 MS. MITCHELL: Correct, pursuant to the stipulated resolution and the audit -- full cooperation by the City with 15 16 the audit program. I think that is the stipulated resolution. 17 We no longer need a resolution by the Court. 18 **THE COURT:** No longer a resolution by the Court? 19 MS. MITCHELL: Correct. 20 THE COURT: All right. Scott, is that your 21 understanding on behalf of the City? 22 MR. MARCUS: Yes. 23 THE COURT: All right. Well, going forward, I would 24 hope that all of us -- the City is on notice that transparency 25 in communication is going to be critical concerning the

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 11 of 21 Page ID

#:21612

1	promises under the settlement agreement. I believe you've
2	corroborated in good faith with each other and Judge Birotte
3	and the Special Master Michele Martinez. So I've been
4	partially aware of that but not fully aware because I've
5	blocked myself off from certain information.

11

6 But hopefully this is going to cause good 7 communication in the future because I know it's a divided 8 government. I do say that I feel that you've taken 9 unprecedented steps on behalf of the City concerning 10 transparency with the Mayor's leadership. I appreciate her 11 being present in court and taking that leadership position and 12 agreeing to this audit concerning homeless programs. And most 13 importantly also -- well, as importantly, creating this website 14 so that the public can look and scrutinize this homeless 15 spending.

And hopefully that going through this process will give us all a renewed spirit of cooperation to build housing to bring people inside. So I'm going to accept this resolution and won't proceed with the sanctions with the agreement of both counsel in this matter.

I think that I have nothing further to state unless you have any questions, Liz, on behalf of LA Alliance. MS. MITCHELL: No. The only concern that I had -and I just wanted to make this clear on the record -- regarding the limited, I guess, budget that was agreed to by City Council

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 12 of 21 Page ID #:21613

12

1	on Friday and I understand there was a cap of 2.2 million.
2	THE COURT: No, there's not. That's what the City
3	says the cap is. That's what the City says.
4	MS. MITCHELL: Understood.
5	THE COURT: There's no cap.
6	MS. MITCHELL: Okay, thank you. That was my concern,
7	Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: But what I think you've got is good faith
9	from the folks doing the auditing that they're going to make
10	everything in their power to do everything in their power to
11	fit within that budget and, in fact, I think they're stepping
12	forward literally and some of you folks can come over here
13	so you can speak for yourselves from the auditing group. Come
14	on up.
15	But I said before, I'm not tied to a budget or any
16	effort to limit that until we've had an adequate audit. I was
17	very clear about that.
18	MS. MITCHELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MS. MITCHELL: And that is one thing that I did want
21	to put on the record.
22	THE COURT: Yeah.
23	MS. MITCHELL: I understand that it is contingent
24	that that the commitment to the budget is contingent on full
25	cooperation by the City and by LAASA

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 13 of 21 Page ID #:21614

13

1	THE COURT: Absolutely.
2	MS. MITCHELL: and the turnarounds and those kinds
3	of things. So if that doesn't happen, I can see the budget
4	going much higher and I just wanted to raise that issue.
5	THE COURT: Well, let's hear from the auditors and
6	from Michele because certain representations have been made to
7	me in good faith but they may not be part of the public record.
8	And I said last time, whether it's a hundred thousand or a
9	million, that this audit is going to be adequate because we've
10	gotten billions of dollars flowing through the City and a lot
11	of money being spent on homelessness. This isn't going to be a
12	hundred thousand dollars short or a million dollars short.
13	But, Michele, you can make whatever representations
14	you'd like to and the auditors can make whatever
15	representations that they'd like to and the City can because I
16	think we've entered into a good-faith agreement but, no, I'm
17	not limited in this regard. And the City won't limit me in an
18	inadequate audit.
19	SPECIAL MASTER MARTINEZ: Yes, Your Honor, I did
20	speak to Alvarez and Marsal and I told them the City Council's
21	range of the 1.2 to the 2.2 million and they said they would
22	stay within that range. I also asked them if they would be
23	willing to do some voluntary work, pro bono work, and they
24	pretty much said to me and they can state that for the
25	record themselves that they are committed to doing what it

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 14 of 21 Page ID #:21615

14

1	takes even if they even work extra hours that they will not be
2	charging but they're willing and more committed to this job.
3	THE COURT: So let me hear from you folks. I don't
4	want to have words put in your mouth but I've received this
5	from the Special Master, some conversation with you.
6	MS. RAFFERTY: Correct. So we now that we have a
7	better understanding of the scope and exactly what the work
8	needs to be done, we are going to commit to staying within that
9	budget. So we will present a scope while we do the contracting
10	process to meet the budget requirements.
11	This is very, very important work. Those of us
12	who've grown up in L.A. and stay here understand everything
13	that not everything that needs to be done but the importance
14	of this job. So we're not cutting ourselves off at 40 hours a
15	week. If it takes us more time, if it takes us to meet you on
16	Saturday and Sunday in these areas, we're going to do that.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MS. RAFFERTY: We are committed to doing the right
19	job in the right amount of time with the right people.
20	MR. MCCURLEY: And, Your Honor, I would I'd like
21	to add, too. At the same time, we're going to make sure and
22	we're going to be spending the rest of this week going back
23	through and doing an honest, bottoms-up estimate based upon
24	what we now understand to be scope to make sure we're not
25	it's not about cutting corners to fit the budget.

1	THE COURT: Right.
2	MS. MCCURLEY: It's about doing the right thing,
3	still giving the right quality of work with the full
4	independence that was requested from the Court to begin with.
5	So and we believe based upon what we heard today that we
6	should be able to fit within this range. And so that's what we
7	communicated and that's what we're going to do. But I want to
8	make sure that you understand that this is not about cutting
9	corners in order to make it fit. This is still doing the
10	quality work that's expected based upon the scope that we know
11	today to be included.
12	THE COURT: So privately it's also been represented
12 13	THE COURT: So privately it's also been represented to me that there may be a certain amount of pro bono work that
13	to me that there may be a certain amount of pro bono work that
13 14	to me that there may be a certain amount of pro bono work that they're taking on and that's what's so exemplary. I wish that
13 14 15	to me that there may be a certain amount of pro bono work that they're taking on and that's what's so exemplary. I wish that so many other firms and entities would step up with that
13 14 15 16	to me that there may be a certain amount of pro bono work that they're taking on and that's what's so exemplary. I wish that so many other firms and entities would step up with that attitude towards this City and contribute, quite frankly, and
13 14 15 16 17	to me that there may be a certain amount of pro bono work that they're taking on and that's what's so exemplary. I wish that so many other firms and entities would step up with that attitude towards this City and contribute, quite frankly, and this firm seems to be willing to do that and do that on behalf
13 14 15 16 17 18	to me that there may be a certain amount of pro bono work that they're taking on and that's what's so exemplary. I wish that so many other firms and entities would step up with that attitude towards this City and contribute, quite frankly, and this firm seems to be willing to do that and do that on behalf of the City which is so complimentary.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to me that there may be a certain amount of pro bono work that they're taking on and that's what's so exemplary. I wish that so many other firms and entities would step up with that attitude towards this City and contribute, quite frankly, and this firm seems to be willing to do that and do that on behalf of the City which is so complimentary. So any questions?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to me that there may be a certain amount of pro bono work that they're taking on and that's what's so exemplary. I wish that so many other firms and entities would step up with that attitude towards this City and contribute, quite frankly, and this firm seems to be willing to do that and do that on behalf of the City which is so complimentary. So any questions? MS. MITCHELL: No, I think that's it. I mean, I

24 MS. MITCHELL: -- Council's cooperation as well as 25 the auditing firm. 1 THE COURT: Yeah. And, Scott, any questions?
2 MR. MARCUS: No questions, Your Honor, but I do need
3 to put on the record that the City's stipulation to resolve the
4 motion was contingent on City Council approval and that
5 approval was to the 2.2 million. We understand that there
6 might be -- need to be some flexibility with that going forward
7 but it's not a blank check.

16

8 THE COURT: Well, and I'll repeat then. You won't 9 tie this Court to an inadequate audit based upon that. You've 10 got a good-faith representation that these folks will fit 11 within it and these folks have represented that they'll do pro 12 bono work but I will not accept -- I'm going to be very clear 13 about this -- and not catching me by surprise that the Council 14 will limit me in any way in case there's more money needed.

So, Michele, I'm in recess now. You have some discussions that you need to enter to.

17 (A recess is taken from 4:38 p.m. to 5:04 p.m.) 18 THE COURT: We're back on the record, Counsel, and Michele has come back. So the court is back in session. 19 20 MS. MITCHELL: Your Honor --21 THE COURT: My position is clear. 22 MS. MITCHELL: -- Plaintiffs' position is clear as 23 We have no objection to the proposed fee. I understand well. 24 that it is a flat fee and Plaintiffs feel comfortable that the 25 auditing firm will do their best job that the City and

Case 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES Document 722 Filed 04/09/24 Page 17 of 21 Page ID

17

#:**2161**8

1 Los Angeles County will be cooperative in providing that, such that the fees aren't overly much and I think we're comfortable 2 3 where we're at, Your Honor. 4 MR. MARCUS: Yes, Your Honor. The City understands 5 it's a flat fee and we agree as well. 6 THE COURT: Michelle? 7 SPECIAL MASTER MARTINEZ: Well, we could have Alvarez 8 and 9 Marsal --10 THE COURT: Yeah, let's get Alvarez up here. You're 11 a part of this and I want to make certain you're all right with 12 the house being built correctly. 13 MR. MCKEE: Thank you, Your Honor. So we had the 14 opportunity to speak with Ms. Martinez today as well as yourself. We understand much more about the scope and so as we 15 16 discussed with you and Ms. Martinez, what we plan on doing is 17 over the next week as we're going through the contracting 18 process, we will develop the scope that will fit within the 19 budget that we will negotiate back and forth, right, to make 20 sure that everybody is comfortable with what we're doing and 21 what we're planning on developing and delivering. 22 In doing so, to the extent that once that's in place 23 and if we go over on our time, we are only billing the flat 24 fee, or the fixed fee is what -- it's a contract. And so we 25 will put in the time necessary to deliver everything in the

1 contract.

2	THE COURT: This agreement has to be between you and
3	the City. I said last Friday that I'm going to be very
4	clear on this. I was very clear last Friday to the City that
5	you can't place the Court in a position of having an audit and
6	then limiting the scope of that audit when the fee is
7	reasonable between 2.9 and 4.2. You don't limit the Court.
8	Where does the counsel come into my Court on a
9	condition? Now, in good faith, it sounds like you can work in
10	the budget with the City and the City could work within the
11	budget and you're willing to have pro bono time. But I want it
12	absolutely clear Matt, do you hear me that I am not
13	binding myself with the 2.5.
14	And so if you two can draw up an agreement between
15	you and you're satisfied that they're going to work pro bono
16	and give back to the community with goodness, et cetera, and,
17	Scott, you're satisfied with that, I will follow through and
18	accept this agreement but I will not accept this agreement if
19	the Court is placed in a position of having any and I mean
20	any limitation within a reasonable amount that I'm willing to
21	work with all of you in terms of getting an adequate product.
22	And I won't be limited by the City.
23	Am I clear about that? Am I miscommunicating in any
24	way?
25	MR. MARCUS: No, Your Honor. The City understands.
	EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

18

19

1	THE COURT: Okay. Liz, do you understand?
2	MS. MITCHELL: Yes, Your Honor, I'm in agreement.
3	THE COURT: You can't there's no limitations. In
4	other words, you can't have an entity come into this court with
5	billions of dollars flowing through this system and then say,
6	Judge, here's a limited budget. Now, I'm working with you in
7	good faith. I'm hoping it's 2.5 million and I'm hoping they
8	give pro bono work but the Court won't be limited now by the
9	City. Understood?
10	MS. MITCHELL: That's right, Your Honor. It's a
11	sanction as we move forward but I believe the number is 2.2,
12	not 2.5. I think that is the flat fee.
13	THE COURT: Well, then 2.2, okay. But that's the
14	point. If we've got this is an agreement between you and
15	the City. If something falls apart, I'm coming right back to
16	the City and I'm not accepting this agreement between you,
17	Scott, Matt and you folks on some limitation where I don't have
18	an absolutely adequate audit. Fair enough?
19	MR. MCKEE: Understood.
20	THE COURT: Scott, fair enough?
21	MR. MARCUS: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Matt, fair enough, yes or no?
23	MR. UMHOFER: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: City?
25	MR. MARCUS: Your Honor, I answered for the City.
	EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

20

1	THE COURT: Oh, no, not to the Court. Understood?
2	MR. MARCUS: We understand.
3	THE COURT: And don't come into my court after you've
4	been told on Friday what my limitations are and then come right
5	back with this same audit. No.
6	Okay. Now, I think we're done with that. I think
7	we're all happy and smiling. We're going to move forward very
8	positively and I think partially that's just because of your
9	firm willing to be able to donate probably what's going to be
10	in excess of this limitation on behalf of the City. I want to
11	thank you for that.
12	Okay. I'm going to accept this agreement then.
13	We're now proceeding further. Anything further today?
14	MS. MITCHELL: Not from Plaintiffs, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Then we'll see you on Thursday. Thank
16	you very much at 2:00 o'clock.
17	MR. MARCUS: Thank you.
18	MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	(Proceeding adjourned at 5:09 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Loui Andren

April 9, 2024

21

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER