



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN  
RIGHTS, et al.

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.

Defendant.

Case No. LA CV 20-02291-DOC(KESx)

Judge: Hon. David O. Carter

**SPECIAL MASTERS REPORT**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION**

*LA ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, et al.,*  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
*CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,*  
Defendants.

**Case No. 2:20-cv-02291-DOC (KES)**

**SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT  
Q1 2026 Compliance Review- January 1, 2026, through March 31, 2026  
June 4, 2026**

To: The Court and to all the Parties of the record.

Submitted by: **Michele Martinez, Special Master** -appointed under the 2022 Settlement Agreement (Dkt. 429-1, approved Dkt. 445) and Order Defining Special Master Duties (Dkt. 1050).

**I. Overview**

This is the Special Master's Q1 2026 Quarterly Compliance Review Report. It covers the City of Los Angeles's Q1 2026 Quarterly Status Report (Dkt. 1247, filed April 30, 2026) for the quarter ending March 31, 2026, which is City FY 2025-26 Q3. The full report is attached as Exhibit 1.

**II. Format Note**

A note on format. This submission uses a cover-plus-report structure. This cover document gives the Court and parties a brief overview. The attached Exhibit 1 contains the full exhibit-by-exhibit review, compliance matrix, findings, and supporting tables.

Going forward, Special Master reports will be longer and more detailed. The 2026 Agreement adds Data Monitor verification, new Paragraph 11 reporting categories, and additional quarter-over-quarter tracking requirements all of which require tables and structured analysis to present clearly. This format keeps the filing organized and readable.

### **III. Key Points for the Court**

The City filed Dkt. 1247 on April 30, 2026, pursuant to Section 7.1 of the 2022 Settlement Agreement. The filing included a cover letter and Exhibits A through E. The figures reported are the City's own self-reported data, subject to verification. The Special Master's full review in Exhibit 1 evaluates the filing against obligations under:

- Section 3 (bed Creation)
- Section 4 (offers before enforcement)
- Sections 5.2 (milestones and deadlines)
- Section 7.1 (quarterly reporting)
- Dkt. 991 (7.2 verification and encampment reduction framework)

Two items warrant particular attention as we move to Quarter 2 and the commencement of Data Monitor verification.

#### **(1) Time- Limited Subsidies (TLS)**

The City reports 2,000 TLS slots as "In Process," consistent with the approved bed plan and milestones schedule. In the follow-up, the City confirmed that no participants had been placed in those slots as of March 31, 2026. The City stated that placements are expected to begin in June 2026.

#### **(2) Four At-Risk Sites**

Four sites (Footnote (25)) may not open by June 2027. The City reports no updated timeline information. These units remain part of the City's pathway toward 14,000 bed requirement and will require continued tracking.

All other findings are documented in Exhibit 1.

### **IV. Looking Ahead**

Q1 is the final quarterly filing reviewed under the original Section 7.1 structure. All other sections of the 2022 Settlement Agreement remain in effect, as does the Court's June 24, 2025 order (Dkt. 991).

#### **Beginning with Q2:**

- 7.1 Reporting categories change under the 2026 Agreement
- Data Monitor verification resumes under Section 7.2
- Nardello & Co. LLC will verify data prior to publication

The Special Master will work with the parties and coordinate with Nardello & Co. on verification methodology before the July 2026 filing.

Respectfully submitted,

**Michele Martinez**  
Special Master  
June 4, 2026

## **Exhibit 1**

## **I. Purpose and Scope of This Report**

This report reviews the City's Q1 2026 quarterly filing (Dkt. 1247, filed April 30, 2026) for the quarter ending March 31, 2026. I am submitting it to the Court pursuant to the 2022 Settlement Agreement (Dkt. 429-1), the Court's Order Defining Special Master Duties (Dkt. 1050), and the Court's June 24, 2025 Settlement Compliance Order (Dkt. 991).

Q1 is the last quarter reviewed under the original Section 7.1 reporting structure. The City filed this Q1 report on April 30, eight days before Judge Carter approved the 2026 Agreement (Dkt. 1252) on May 8. The 2022 Settlement Agreement remains in effect. What changed is that Sections 3.1, 5.2, 7.1, and 7.2 were amended by the 2026 Agreement. All other sections of the 2022 Agreement, continue to apply.

There was no active Data Monitor during Q1. The Ninth Circuit stayed the appointment of Daniel Garrie as Data Monitor on December 4, 2025 (Dkt. 1048). That stay remained in place throughout the quarter. As a result, no independent verification occurred before the City filed its Q1 report. For Q1, this report relies on the City's filing and its responses to follow-up questions. The Court makes the final compliance determination.

## **II. Background**

### **A. The 2022 Settlement Agreement**

The 2022 Settlement Agreement (Dkt. 429-1) required the City to create 12,915 housing or shelter solutions for people experiencing homelessness (referred to throughout this report as PEH), make offers of shelter or housing before enforcement actions, develop plans and milestones for encampment engagement at the Council District and citywide level, and file quarterly status updates with the Court. This report evaluates the City's Q1 filing under that framework.

The Court's June 24, 2025 Settlement Compliance Order (Dkt. 991) reinforced these obligations. It established the verification and reporting framework, clarified the encampment reduction definition, and required the Monitor to review the City's data before publication and confirm that offers of shelter or housing were made before encampment reductions. The 2026 Agreement did not repeal or modify Dkt. 991. It remains foundational authority for this review.

### **B. The Data Monitor Was Stayed**

The Court appointed Daniel Garrie as Data Monitor in October 2025 (Dkt. 1048). The City appealed, and the Ninth Circuit stayed the appointment on December 4, 2025. That stay remained in effect throughout Q1. No Monitor review occurred before the Q1 filing. This was a process gap. Not a failure by the City or the Monitor.

### **C. The 2026 Agreement (Dkt. 1252)**

On May 8, 2026, Judge Carter approved a Joint Stipulation (Dkt. 1252) resolving disputes related to the 2022 Settlement Agreement. The 2026 Agreement amended three specific areas:

#### **1. Section 3.1 Bed Creation:**

- Increased the target from 12,915 to 14,000 beds/units by June 30, 2027.

- The City, in its sole discretion, can count towards satisfying the obligation of 14,000 bed/units by (a) any housing and shelter solutions that were originally created under the October 2020 Memorandum of Understanding Between the County of Los Angeles and the City of Los Angeles (the “Roadmap Agreement”) (Dkt.185-1) and that remain open and (b) the provision of a Time Limited Subsidy (“TLS”) to an individual who already received a TLS through the Roadmap Agreement, so long as the second TLS has a duration of at least two years.
- Added a requirement to maintain at least 12,915 beds through June 30, 2029.

**2. Section 5.2 — PEH Placement Goal:**

- Replaced the 9,800 – unit encampment reduction obligation with a new goal of 19,600 PEH placements into housing or shelter between May 2022 and June 30, 2027.

**3. Section 7.1 (Quarterly Reporting)**

- Updated reporting categories beginning with the Q2 2026 filing and appointed Nardello as the Data Monitor under Section 7.2.

All other sections of the 2022 Agreement remain in effect through June 30, 2027. Dkt. 991 Court Order also remains in effect. After June 30, 2027, only the bed maintenance obligation and reporting requirements in Paragraphs 1-4 of the 2026 Agreement remain. The Court's jurisdiction ends October 1, 2029.

**D. This Filing Is Under the 2022 Settlement Agreement**

The City filed Dkt. 1247 on April 30, 2026 before the new agreement was approved. So this report reviews the Q1 filing under all obligations of the 2022 Settlement Agreement as they stood on March 31, 2026, before any of the 2026 Agreement amendments took effect.

**E. Questions Submitted to the City**

Prior to finalizing this report, I submitted follow-up questions to City counsel regarding specific items in Dkt. 1247. The City responded. Those questions and answers appear in Section VII.

**III. Why Q1 Still Matters Under the New Agreement**

Dkt. 1247 was filed on April 30, 2026, eight days before Judge Carter approved the 2026 Agreement on May 8, 2026. This report reviews that filing under the 2022 Settlement Agreement obligations in effect as of March 31, 2026. The 2026 Agreement amended specific sections of the 2022 Agreement. It did not replace it. The 2022 Agreement remains in effect through June 30, 2027.

What the 2026 Agreement changed: the bed creation target under Section 3.1 was raised from 12,915 to 14,000 by June 30, 2027. The encampment reduction obligation under Section 5.2 was replaced with a goal of 19,600 PEH moving into qualifying housing or shelter by June 30, 2027. The quarterly reporting categories under Section 7.1 were updated under Paragraph 11 and take effect with the Q2 2026 filing.

What the 2026 Agreement did not change: Sections 3.2, 3.3, 4, 6, 8, and 9 of the 2022 Agreement were not amended. The Court's June 24, 2025 order (Dkt. 991) was not repealed or modified. Q1 is the last quarter reviewed under the original Section 7.1 reporting structure. Two aspects of this filing are relevant to the period ahead:

First, the housing inventory. The City reported 13,098 total beds and units as of March 31, 2026. That figure, as adjusted for any Roadmap Agreement solutions the City counts beginning with Q2, is the starting point for tracking progress toward the 14,000-bed target. Four sites are flagged as potentially unable to open before June 2027. The 2,000 TLS slots reported In Process had zero participant placements as of the close of the quarter.

Second, the verification gap. No Data Monitor was active during Q1. The Court is therefore working from the City's self-reported data for this quarter. That limitation is documented in this report.

The encampment reduction definition dispute is resolved. The 2026 Agreement replaced the encampment reduction obligation with the 19,600 PEH placement goal. The original Section 7.1 reporting categories are superseded by Paragraph 11 beginning with the Q2 2026 filing. This report assesses what the Q1 filing contains, what it does not contain, and what the record shows as of March 31, 2026.

#### IV. Summary of Findings

| Finding | Topic                                               | What It Means                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A       | Filing Completeness                                 | The City filed Dkt. 1247 on April 30, 2026, with a cover letter and five exhibits organized around its interpretation of Paragraph 7.1. The filing was timely. Whether it satisfies the full scope of obligations is addressed in findings Through B-K.                              |
| B       | Housing Inventory                                   | The City reports 13,098 total beds/units against the 12,915 original target a surplus of 183. Of these, 4,105 remain In Process with no opening dates. Four sites may not open before June 2027.                                                                                     |
| C       | TLS Zero Placements                                 | The City reported 2,000 TLS slots In Process. When I asked, they confirmed zero people had been placed in any of those slots as of March 31, 2026. Placements are expected to start in June 2026.                                                                                    |
| D       | Pre-Settlement Site Explanations                    | About 80 pre-existing sites got grouped explanations rather than site-specific ones. The City says all sites are covered. Whether that is enough is the Court's determination.                                                                                                       |
| E       | Encampment Reductions                               | 315 reductions in Q1, bringing the total to 2,661. The City's dispute over the Court's encampment reduction definition no longer applies after this quarter. The 2026 Agreement replaced that obligation entirely.                                                                   |
| F       | PEH Engagement Data                                 | The City expanded the how far back the data goes in Exhibit D to cover Q4 2024 through Q1 2026. How far back the data goes stays fixed at October 2024.                                                                                                                              |
| G       | Encampment Count                                    | 244 active encampments as of March 31, up from 242 in Q4. A new status category appeared for the first time. The City confirmed it already existed it just had no entries in Q4.                                                                                                     |
| H       | No Data Verification for Q1                         | The Data Monitor was stayed by the courts during Q1, so no one independently verified the City's numbers. That gap is documented here. Nardello & Co. LLC is now active and verification resumes with the Q2 filing.                                                                 |
| I       | Section 3- Bed Trajectory and Council District Gaps | The citywide total of 13,098 clears the approved milestone of 9,106 on paper. But 4,105 are still In Process, 9 of 15 Council Districts were below their individual targets as of June 2025, and the TLS program had zero placements out of 2,000 funded slots as of March 31, 2026. |
| J       | Section 4 -Offers Before Enforcement                | The Q1 filing has no documentation showing shelter or housing was offered before the 315 encampment actions in Exhibit C. Engagement data is not the same as offer data. The record does not let me or the Court assess whether Section 4 was followed during Q1.                    |
| K       | Section 5.2 -Milestones                             | The overall milestone target was met but the filing contains no Council District breakdown of milestone progress. CD 14, CD 9, and CD 7 had the largest gaps                                                                                                                         |

| Finding | Topic | What It Means                                                                                |
|---------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         |       | as of June 2025. The Court cannot tell from this filing whether those gaps closed during Q1. |

## V. Exhibit-by-Exhibit Review

### A. Exhibit A Housing Inventory

Here is what the City reported as of March 31, 2026. "Open" means the beds are available now. "In Process" means they are funded and planned but not yet open:

| Category                   | Open  | In Process | Total  |
|----------------------------|-------|------------|--------|
| All Housing (beds/units)   | 8,993 | 4,105      | 13,098 |
| Original Settlement Target |       |            | 12,915 |
| Surplus vs. Target         |       |            | +183   |

These are cumulative numbers total since the start of the Settlement, not just Q1. Compared to Q4 2025, 463 more beds moved to Open status but 479 fewer beds are In Process, so the total actually dipped by 16. The surplus against the original target compressed slightly from Q4 (+199) to Q1 (+183).

Four sites (Footnote 25) may not open by June 2027. The City confirmed those timelines are still unresolved. That is worth watching because those beds count toward the new 14,000 target.

Six sites (Footnote 3) moved to Open during Q1. The City expects more sites to open before the Q2 filing.

The Time-Limited Subsidy program (TLS, Entry 207) provides short-term rental assistance. It reports 2,000 slots In Process. When I asked specifically how many people had been placed in those slots, the City confirmed: zero as of March 31, 2026. Placements are expected to start in June 2026. The City Council also approved full funding for all 2,000 slots through June 2027 on May 26, but that still needs the Mayor's approval. As confirmed by the City in response to questions from the Special Master, none of those 2,000 funded slots had any participants placed as of the close of Q1.

### B. Exhibit B Pre-Settlement Sites

The City has to explain how sites that existed before the Settlement count toward its bed creation obligation. This exhibit does that. About 77% of the roughly 80 pre-existing sites are covered by group paragraphs rather than site-by-site explanations.

I asked whether any of the six new Open sites needed individual explanations. The City confirmed Site 173 (7253 Melrose) pre-existed the Settlement and has its own explanation. The other five are newly built and do not need one. Sites 127 and 131 also pre-existed and are covered under the Homekey section.

The City's position is that all pre-existing sites are accounted for. Whether the grouped approach is sufficient under the Agreement is for the Court to decide.

### C. Exhibit C Encampment Reductions

315 reductions in Q1, bringing the cumulative total to 2,661 since January 2024. The City still disagrees with the Court's June 2025 definition of what counts as a reduction but they confirmed that dispute is now

no longer applicable. The new agreement replaced the encampment reduction obligation with a 19,600 placement goal. This metric is gone starting in Q2.

#### **D. Exhibit D PEH Engagement Data**

The City expanded this exhibit to cover Q4 2024 through Q1 2026 a longer window than the previous filing. How far back the data goes stays fixed at October 2024 based on how the underlying data system is built.

#### **E. Exhibit E Encampment Count**

244 active encampments as of March 31, up slightly from 242 in Q4. A new status category called “Encampment Boundary Deactivated” showed up for the first time. I asked if that was a new category. The City confirmed it already existed; it just had no entries in Q4 because no encampments were in that status at the time. Nothing changed in how the data is counted.

### **VI. What Changed Between Q4 2025 and Q1 2026**

For the record, here is what shifted in how the City filed this quarter compared to the prior one:

| # | What Changed                           | Details                                                                                                                        |
|---|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Open/In Process hybrid status          | Q1 introduced a new dual-status category for sites that are partially open and partially in process. This did not exist in Q4. |
| 2 | TLS disclosure condensed               | Q1 put all TLS information into a single footnote rather than spreading it across multiple entries as in Q4.                   |
| 3 | Engagement data lookback expanded      | Exhibit D now covers Q4 2024 through Q1 2026. The data goes back to October 2024 and that stays fixed.                         |
| 4 | New encampment status category         | Encampment Boundary Deactivated appeared for the first time. It is not new it just had no entries in Q4.                       |
| 5 | Two new site explanations in Exhibit B | Two additional individualized site explanations were added. The overall grouped structure was otherwise the same.              |
| 6 | Six sites moved to Open                | Six sites with Footnote (3) moved from In Process to Open, with opening dates between January and March 2026.                  |

### **VII. Questions Submitted to the City**

Prior to finalizing this report, I submitted clarifying questions to City counsel regarding specific items in Dkt. 1247. The City responded. The questions, the City’s responses, and what each response establishes for the record are set out below.

#### **Exhibit A At-Risk Sites**

**Question:** Footnote (25) flags four sites that may not open by June 2027. Has anything changed since the April 30 filing?

**City:** No update. All four are still unresolved. They will update in the Q2 report.

*Note: The City's response confirms that as of the date of this report, the timelines for all four sites remain unresolved.*

#### **Exhibit A In-Process Sites**

**Question:** Will any In Process sites move to Open before the next filing?

**City:** Yes additional sites are expected to complete construction and open by June 30, 2026.

*Notes: The City's response establishes that additional sites are expected to transition to Open status and will be reported in the Q2 filing.*

#### **Exhibit A TLS**

**Question:** How many of the 2,000 TLS slots had been filled as of March 31, 2026?

**City:** Zero. Placements are expected to begin in June 2026. Full 2,000-slot funding through June 2027 was approved by City Council on May 26, but is still pending the Mayor's sign-off.

*Note: This information was not reflected in Dkt. 1247. The Q2 filing will be the first opportunity to assess TLS placement activity.*

#### **Exhibit B New Open Sites**

**Question:** Do any of the six new Open sites require individual creation explanations that are not currently in Exhibit B?

**City:** Site 173 (7253 Melrose) pre-existed the Settlement and has an individual explanation. The other five are newly built. Sites 127 and 131 are covered under the Homekey section.

*Notes: The City's response establishes its position that all pre-existing sites are accounted for in Exhibit B.*

#### **Exhibit C Encampment Definition**

**Question:** Has the City's position on the encampment reduction definition changed now that the new agreement is in place?

**City:** The City still disagrees with the Court's June 2025 definition, but that dispute no longer applies under the 2026 Agreement.

*Notes: The City's response confirms the encampment reduction definition dispute is resolved. The 2026 Agreement replaced the encampment reduction obligation under Section 5.2.*

#### **Exhibit D Lookback Floor**

**Question:** Will the October 2024 lookback floor keep expanding in future filings?

**City:** No. The data goes back to October 2024 and stays there based on how the underlying system is built.

*Notes: The City's response establishes that October 2024 is the fixed floor for PEH engagement data in future filings.*

#### **Exhibit E New Status Category**

**Question:** Is Encampment Boundary Deactivated a new category added to the system?

**City:** No. It already existed it just had no entries in Q4.

*Notes: The City's response confirms that the category reflects no change in data methodology.*

### **VIII. Findings**

These findings are based on the City's Q1 filing and its responses to the follow-up questions . The Court makes the final compliance determination.

**Finding A:**

The City filed Dkt. 1247 on April 30, 2026, with a cover letter and five exhibits organized around its interpretation of Paragraph 7.1. The filing was timely, whether it satisfies obligations under Sections 3,4, 5.2, and 7.1 of the 2022 Settlement Agreement and Dkt. 991 is addressed in the Findings B-K.

**Finding B:**

The City reports 13,098 total beds/units, exceeding the original 12,915 target by 183. Of these, 4105 remain In Process. Four sites are at risk of not opening by June 2027.

**Finding C:**

The Q1 filing does not state that zero TLS placements had occurred as of March 31, 2026. This information emerged through the follow-up questions.

**Finding D:**

Four sites flagged in Footnote (25) may not open by June 2027. The City reports no updated timelines. These sites remain part of the pathway toward the 14,000-bed requirements.

**Finding E:**

About 77% of pre-existing sites in Exhibit B are covered by grouped explanations. The City states all sites are accounted for. Whether grouped explanations satisfy the Agreement is for the Court to determine.

**Finding F:**

The City filed Exhibit C under protest while appealing the Dkt. 991 encampment definition. The 2026 Agreement replaced the Section 5.2 obligation, rendering the dispute moot going forward.

**Finding G:**

The expanded lookback period in Exhibit D and the appearance of a status category in Exhibit E are explained by the City and do not reflect a change in data methodology.

**Finding H:**

No Data Monitor was active during Q1 because of the Ninth Circuit stay. The Q1 numbers are unverified City-reported data. Verification resumes with the Q2 filing under Nardello.

**Finding I:**

Section 3.1 requires the City to create housing and shelter solutions for people experiencing homelessness across all 15 Council Districts, with individual district targets set by the 2022 Point in Time Count.

The City approved an updated Bed Plan and milestones on September 30, 2025 (Dkt. 1040) and Judge Carter approved it on October 17, 2025. Under that approved plan, the milestone target for the quarter ending March 31, 2026 was 9,106 total beds and units, open and in process combined.

The City reported 13,098 total beds and units as of March 31, 2026. That clears the overall milestone target on paper. However, 4,105 of those are still In Process. Open beds only totaled 8,993, below the 9,106 milestone if the Court reads the milestone as requiring beds to be open and available.

As of June 30, 2025, 9 of the 15 Council Districts were below their targets. The three largest gaps were CD 14 (934 below its goal of 2,941), CD 9 (866 below its goal of 1,504), and CD 7 (590 below its goal of 781). The Q1 filing reports a citywide total but does not include a Council District breakdown. Section 3.3 of the 2022 Settlement Agreement requires equitable distribution of housing and shelter solutions across all 15 Council Districts. Without district-level reporting, the record does not allow for an assessment of whether progress toward that distribution occurred during Q1.

As confirmed by the City in response to questions from the Special Master, zero of the 2,000 TLS slots had placed any participants as of March 31, 2026. The Q2 filing will be the first opportunity to assess whether TLS placements have commenced.

The City retains discretion over interventions types; this report documents the status of the interventions the City selected.

#### **Finding J:**

The Q1 filing does not include documentation showing whether shelter or housing offers were made before the 315 encampment actions reported in Exhibit C. Exhibit D shows that LAHSA engaged thousands of people experiencing homelessness during Q1, but engagement is not an offer. There is no exhibit in the Q1 filing that tracks offers made, acceptances, or rejections at the individual or encampment level. Without offer-level information, the record does not allow the Court to assess compliance for this quarter.

#### **Finding K:**

Section 5.2 of the 2022 Settlement Agreement requires the City to have plans with milestones and deadlines for bed creation and encampment engagement at both the Council District level and citywide, and to use its best efforts to meet them. The Court approved updated milestones on October 17, 2025 (Dkt. 1040).

The approved milestones set specific quarterly targets. For the quarter ending March 31, 2026, the target was 9,106 total beds and units. The City reported 13,098, which clears that target overall. However, the Q1 filing does not report milestone progress by Council District. Without that district-level reporting, the Court cannot assess whether the City is meeting the equitable distribution requirement of Section 3.3 or whether the districts that were farthest behind as of June 2025, CD 14, CD 9, and CD 7, made meaningful progress during Q1.

The Q1 filing does not include a status report on TLS milestone commitments. As confirmed by the City in response to questions from the Special Master, zero TLS placements had occurred as of March 31, 2026, though the approved Bed Plan scheduled TLS placements to begin in FY 2025-26.

### **IX. What Comes Next**

This is the last Special Master report under the original Section 7.1 reporting structure. The 2022 Settlement Agreement continues in effect with the amendments described in Section II. C above. Three things to note as we move forward:

1. The Q2 2026 filing is the first one under the new agreement. The reporting categories change, the bed target changes, and the verification process changes too. My next report will reflect all of that.
2. I am coordinating with Nardello & Co. LLC on verification approach and data flow before the City's Q2 filing arrives in July 2026.
3. Nardello & Co. LLC with Wendy Wu leading is now the active Data Monitor. Their scope is set out in Exhibit A to the 2026 Agreement. They verify the numbers. I assess what those numbers mean for compliance and report to the Court.

## **X. Conclusion**

This report is respectfully submitted to the Court for its review.

Two items from the Q1 record are relevant to the period ahead. First, 2,000 TLS slots were reported In Process as of March 31, 2026, with zero participants placed as of that date, as confirmed by the City in response to clarifying questions. Second, four sites in the Q1 filing remain flagged as potentially unable to open before June 2027, with no updated timeline as of the date of this report.

Everything else in Q1 is documented and in the record. My next report will address the City's Q2 2026 filing, which is the first filing under the Paragraph 11 reporting categories of the 2026 Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

**Michele Martinez**

Special Master

June 4, 2026

## **Appendix Source Filings**

**Dkt. 429-1 / Dkt. 445 May** / June 2022 -2022 Settlement Agreement and Court Order Approving Settlement

**Dkt. 991 June** 24, 2025 -Court Order on Encampment Reduction Definition and Verification Framework

**Dkt. 1048 / Dkt. 1050 October** 14-15, 2025-Order Appointing Data Monitor (stayed December 4, 2025; superseded) / Order Defining Special Master Duties

**Dkt. 1138 January** 15, 2026 - City of Los Angeles Q4 2025 Quarterly Status Report

**Dkt. 1224 April** 22, 2026 -Joint Status Report: Mediated Agreement on Sections 7.1 and 7.2

**Dkt. 1230 April** 23, 2026 -Court Minute Order

**Dkt. 1247 April** 30, 2026 -City of Los Angeles Q1 2026 Quarterly Status Report (subject of this review)

**Dkt. 1252 May** 8, 2026 -Joint Stipulation and 2026 Agreement, approved by Judge Carter

**C.F. 23-1022-S18 January** 28, 2026 -City Council Action: TLS Slot Rate Increase and Partial Funding Appropriation

**C.F. 23-1022-S33 May** 26, 2026 -City Council Action: Full 2,000-Slot TLS Funding for FY 2026-27 (pending Mayoral concurrence)