

WAYMAKER

Keri Curtis Axel (Bar No. 186847)
kaxel@waymakerlaw.com
Scott M. Malzahn (Bar No. 229204)
smalzahn@waymakerlaw.com
Ashley E. Martabano (Bar No. 236357)
amartabano@waymakerlaw.com
WAYMAKER LLP
515 S. Flower Street, Suite 3500
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (424) 652-7800
Facsimile: (424) 652-7850

Christopher Pelham (Bar No. 241068)
christopher.pelham@nortonrosefulbright.com
Abigail G. Urquhart (Bar No. 310547)
abigail.urquhart@nortonrosefulbright.com
Alex Scandroli (Bar No. 345278)
alex.scandroli@nortonrosefulbright.com
NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 892-9200
Facsimile: (213) 892-9494

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

LOS ANGELES HOMELESS
SERVICES AUTHORITY, a joint
powers authority,

Plaintiff,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official
capacity as President of the United
States of America; UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND

Case No. 2:26-cv-07056 PA (AJRx)

**PLAINTIFF LOS ANGELES
HOMELESS SERVICES
AUTHORITY'S NOTICE OF
RELATED CIVIL CASES**

1 URBAN DEVELOPMENT; SCOTT
2 TURNER, in his official capacity as the
3 Secretary of the U.S. Department of
4 Housing and Urban Development; and
DOES 1-10,

5 Defendants.

WAYMAKER

NOTICE OF RELATED CIVIL CASES

Pursuant to Local Rule 83-1.3, Plaintiff Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (“LAHSA”) files this Notice of Related Civil Cases, to inform this Court as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

On March 10, 2020, the Los Angeles Alliance of Human Rights, and various individuals, filed a complaint against defendants the City of Los Angeles and the County of Los Angeles, Case Number 2:20-cv-02291-DOC-KES (“LA Alliance Case”). This case was assigned to the Honorable David O. Carter and has been related to the following cases: 8:17-cv-00246-DOC-KES; 2:21-cv-06109-DOC-KES; 2:21-cv-06003-DOC-KES; 2:21-cv-07305-DOC-KES; 2:21-cv-07596-DOC-KES; 2:22-cv-00483-DOC-KES; and 2:22-cv-00484-DOC-KES.

For the reasons set forth below, it is possible that the above captioned case the LA Alliance Case may entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

II. FACTUAL SUMMARY

In the LA Alliance case, Plaintiffs sued the City of Los Angeles (“City”) and the County of Los Angeles (“County”) for alleged violations of California law, the California Constitution, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Rehabilitation Act, and the United States Constitution through 42 U.S.C. §1983 due to defendants’ approach to the Los Angeles street homelessness crisis, including the lack of sufficient shelter and proliferation of encampments on public rights of way. The case involved, *inter alia*, submissions and hearings related to the City’s administration of and relationship with LAHSA. *See, e.g., LA Alliance*, 792 F. Supp. 3d 1049, 1060 (C.D. Cal. 2025).

///

///

///

1 LAHSA was never a party to the *LA Alliance* case, but the facts discussed in
2 the matter included audits, reviews, and investigations of LAHSA's operation and
3 efficacy.

4 Respectfully,

5 DATED: June 29, 2026

WAYMAKER LLP

6
7 By: /s/ Keri Curtis Axel

8 KERI CURTIS AXEL

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority

10 DATED: June 29, 2026

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP

11
12 By: /s/ Chris Pelham

13 CHRIS PELHAM

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

15 *Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority*
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28